

Appendix A

Hydrogeology Assessment Report

A.1 Hydrogeology Assessment Report

Galway County Council

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Updated NIS Appendix A - Hydrogeology Assessment

Reference: GCOB-4.04.03_30.10_Appendix A

Issue 4 | 28 March 2025

© Enter image copyright here

This report takes into account the particular instructions and requirements of our client. It is not intended for and should not be relied upon by any third party and no responsibility is undertaken to any third party.



Job number 233985_00

Ove Arup & Partners Ireland Limited

Arup Corporate House
City East Business Park Ballybrit
Galway
Ireland
arup.com

Document Verification

Project title N6 Galway City Ring Road
Document title Updated NIS Appendix A - Hydrogeology Assessment
Job number 233985_00
Document ref GCOB-4.04.03_30.10_App A
File reference GCOB-4.03.03_30.10

Revision	Date	Filename	GCOB-4-04-03-6 6.2 39 NIS Hydrogeology_I3		
Issue 3	26 July 2018	Description	Issue 3 Issued with 2018 NIS		
			Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
		Name	Les Brown	Mary Hurley/Fiona Patterson	Eileen McCarthy
		Signature			
Issue 4	28 March 2025	Filename	GCOB-4.04.03_30.10_App A		
		Description	Issue 4 for Updated NIS		
			Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
		Name	Les Brown	Gerry Baker	Eileen McCarthy
		Signature			
		Filename			
		Description			
			Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
		Name			
		Signature			

Issue Document Verification with Document

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Methodology	1
2.1	Hydrogeology Study Area	2
2.2	Data Sources and Baseline Data Collection	2
2.2.1	Desk Study	2
2.2.2	Field Studies	3
2.2.3	Commissioned Ground Investigations	4
3.	Designated European sites	7
4.	Receiving Environment	10
4.1	Galway Granite Batholith	11
4.2	Visean Undifferentiated Limestone	12
4.2.1	Ross Lake Groundwater Body	13
4.2.2	Lough Corrib Fen 1 Groundwater Body	13
4.2.3	Lough Corrib Fen 2 Groundwater Body	16
4.2.4	Lough Corrib Fen 3 and 4 Groundwater Body	17
4.2.5	Clare-Corrib Groundwater Body	17
4.2.6	Clarinbridge Groundwater Body	20
4.3	Summary	21
5.	Evaluation of Impacts	22
5.1	Assessment Methodology	22
5.2	Construction Phase	25
5.2.1	Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow	25
5.2.2	Potential Pollution Impacts	30
5.2.3	Placement of non-native construction materials	34
5.3	Operation Phase	35
5.3.1	Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow	35
5.3.2	Potential Pollution Impacts	38
5.4	Summary	41
6.	Mitigation	42
6.1	Introduction	42
6.2	Mitigation - Construction Phase	42
6.2.1	Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow	42
6.2.2	Pollution prevention	43
6.2.3	Placement of non-native construction materials	45
6.3	Mitigation - Operation Phase	45
7.	Conclusion	45
8.	References	46

Annex

Annex A	1
Figures	1
A.1 Annex A - Figures	2

1. Introduction

This is an update to NIS Appendix A Hydrogeology submitted to An Bord Pleanála in October 2018 as part of the application for approval of the proposed N6 GCRR pursuant to Section 51 of the Roads Act 1993 (as amended). It forms part of the response to the request by ABP for further information in December 2023 where they requested GCC to “*Update the appropriate assessment screening document and the Natura Impact Statement including updated site conversation objectives*”. Where there have been any changes to the assessment and/or any updates since the 2018 NIS, these have been set out in this updated Appendix A.

This report has been prepared to document the potential impacts on groundwater bodies as they relate to European sites due to the proposed N6 Galway City Ring Road including temporary stables at Galway Racecourse, hereafter referred to as the Project.

This report details the data sources and baseline data collection used (Section 2), identifies the designated European sites supported by the groundwater bodies traversed by the Project (Section 3) and describes the hydrogeological regime of the receiving environment (Section 4). The likely significant groundwater impacts from the Project as they relate to European sites are assessed (Section 5) and measures to mitigate against these impacts as outlined (Section 6). A conclusion is presented (Section 7) with references (Section 8).

A number of questions arose at the oral hearing in 2020 specifically in relation to the conceptual model at European sites and these are specifically referred to and dealt with in the appropriate location throughout this updated Appendix A. Further the errata/corrigendum handed in during the Oral Hearing in 2020 have also been reflected in this updated Appendix A. The figures presented have considered all comments, corrigenda and errata that were identified during the Oral Hearing process. The assessment process remains valid and unchanged between the 2018 NIS and this updated NIS.

The key changes to the appendix since the 2018 NIS involve updating:

- the description of the receiving environment to take account of changes including one additional karst feature (1 No.) identified during site visits
- the evaluation of the effect on hydrogeology
- all associated appendices and figures
- to take account of points raised from the Brief of Evidence presented to An Bord Pleanála (ABP) at the oral hearing in 2020 and from the ABP Inspector’s Report dated June 2021

2. Methodology

A conceptual groundwater model has been developed based on available hydrogeology data for the study area of the Project. Based on this conceptual model the regional hydrogeology has been characterised into aquifer type and divided into a series of discrete groundwater bodies, each of which have their own surface catchment and flow paths that transfer groundwater from recharge to discharge.

Due to the coastal location all groundwater in the study area contributes to the Atlantic Ocean at Galway Bay either by discharges to coastal or transitional waters or from groundwater contributions to the surface watercourses that flow to Galway Bay.

This report assesses the potential for hydrogeological impact from the Project to those groundwater bodies that support groundwater dependant ecosystems within European sites, whether coastal, transitional (estuary) or terrestrial. In terms of hydrogeology the term terrestrial refers to all onshore ecosystems (including freshwater ecosystems).

The data used to develop the conceptual model comprises of previous data, a 2015 project desk study and ground investigation data collected during 2015 - 2017 and 2023-2024 for the Project. The data sources for each of these are detailed below.

2.1 Hydrogeology Study Area

In accordance with the TII Guidelines, the area of hydrogeological study should include all features which may be impacted from the Project. The hydrogeology study area extent is dependent on the hydrogeological characteristics of the bedrock aquifer that the Project traverses e.g. the potential study area for a poor aquifer will be significantly smaller than the study area for a regionally important karst aquifer.

Based upon the screening undertaken at route selection stage the extent of the hydrogeology study area was conservatively taken as being 250m from the proposed fenceline of the proposed N6 GCRR for the western section of the proposed N6 GCRR (west of the N59 Moycullen Road), where the aquifer is classified as being poorly productive. This was reviewed further in 2023 and deemed to be appropriate and correct.

The eastern section of the Project (east of the N59 Moycullen Road) includes regionally important karstified aquifers and the extent of the hydrogeology study area was taken as the extent of the groundwater catchments, or sub-catchments as appropriate, that the Project traverses. This was reviewed further in 2023 and was extended to include the full extents of Galway Racecourse as well as the limestone aquifer from the racecourse to Lough Atalia and the coast at Roscam.

Groundwater catchments, referred to as groundwater bodies (GWB) have been mapped and named by the GSI. The boundaries for these groundwater divides have been refined as part of this assessment to provide a full assessment of all receptors that have the potential to be impacted by the Project.

For some GWB, the project data demonstrates that parts of the GWB are hydrogeologically separate from the rest of the GWB and this has allowed the GSI GWB to be refined and in some cases divided into sub catchments. The refined GWB and identification of sub-catchments are presented as part of the description of the conceptual model in Section 4 for both the Galway Granite Batholith and the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone. Note the refined GWB and sub-catchments remain unchanged from the 2018 NIS.

2.2 Data Sources and Baseline Data Collection

The existing baseline ground conditions within the hydrogeology study area of the Project have been interpreted from desk studies, field studies and commissioned ground investigations. The data sources for each of these are described below.

2.2.1 Desk Study

The following sources of information were reviewed in order to evaluate the hydrogeology of the Project:

- Current and historical Tailte Éireann maps available for the hydrogeology study area (1:2,500 and 1:10,560 scales)
- Aerial imagery from Google (imagery from 2003 to 2024) and Bing accessed in 2024
- Geological and hydrogeological maps of the site area produced by the GSI (www.dcenr.gov.ie, accessed 2024)
- EPA maps and datasets of waterbody status, pressures and groundwater abstractions (www.epa.ie, accessed 2024)
- National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) maps of designated sites (www.npws.ie, accessed 2024)
- National Monuments Service Historic Environment Viewer (www.archaeology.ie, accessed 2024)

- MacDermot, C.V., McConnell, B. and Pracht, M. (2003) *Geology of Galway Bay 1:100,000 scale Bedrock Geology Map Series*, Sheet 14, Galway Bay, Geological Survey of Ireland
- Pracht, M. and Somerville I.D., 2015. A Revised Mississippian lithostratigraphy of County Galway (western Ireland) with analyses of Carbonate lithofacies, biostratigraphy, depositional environments and palaeogeography reconstructions utilising new borehole data. *Journal of palaeogeography*. Volume 4, Issue 1, January 2015, Pages 1-26
- Teagasc and the Environmental Protection Agency Irish Soil Information System (<http://gis.teagasc.ie/soils/index.php>, accessed 2017)
- Ground investigation reports held by the GSI for the hydrogeology study area (refer Appendix A.9.1)
- Flood, P. and Eising, J. (1987). *The use of vertical band drains in the construction of the Galway Eastern Approach Road*. Proceedings of the 9th European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Dublin, Ireland
- Lidar elevation data commissioned by OPW
- N6 Galway City Outer Bypass Scheme (2006 GCOB):
 - Galway City Outer Bypass R336 Western Approach Constraints Study Report 2000
 - N6 Galway City Outer Bypass Constraints Study Report (2000)
 - Galway County Council Galway City Outer Bypass Preliminary Ground Investigation, 2006
 - N6 Galway City Outer Bypass Environmental Impact Statement (2006)
- Data available from the Geological Survey of Ireland:
 - R1340 Galway County Council Eastern Approach Road Galway (N6) (Ballybane – Doughiska), 1993
 - R1365 Thos. Garland and Partners Digital Limited, Galway Industrial Estate, 1983
 - R3176 Dermot Rooney and Associates I.D.A Business Park, Daingean, Galway, 1997
 - R5906 Irish Linen Proposed Irish Linen Factory, Ragoon, Galway, 2005
 - R6136 Galway County Council Residential Development, Headford Road, Galway, 2006
 - R6898 Storm Technology Office Block Development, Daingean, Galway, 2006

2.2.2 Field Studies

As part of the environmental studies a number of surveys and walkovers were undertaken between 2014 and 2024 to assess the hydrogeological environment. These surveys are presented in the following reports of the updated EIAR:

- Ground investigation reports (Appendix A.9.1)
- Well condition reports (Appendix A.10.1)
- Karst survey report (Appendix A.10.2)

Geophysical surveys were commissioned across the route of the proposed N6 GCRR to provide additional detail on the subsurface ground conditions. These, along with the ground investigations discussed in Section 2.2.3 were used to develop the hydrogeological conceptual model for the hydrogeology study area. The data for the geophysics surveys are presented in EIAR Appendix A.9.1.

A well condition survey was undertaken in 2014 to determine the condition of existing monitoring wells which were installed as part of the 2006 Galway City Outer Bypass (2006 GCOB) studies. This survey allowed historic wells, some of which required remediation, to be incorporated into the monitoring network for the proposed N6 GCRR. The 2023 to 2024 groundwater sampling programme used the same wells as sampled during the 2015 to 2017 sampling programme. Prior to the wells being sampled for the 2023 to 2024 sampling programme a well condition survey was completed. The 2014 and 2023 reports on the condition of these wells are detailed in Appendix A.10.1, which confirm that the wells remained in satisfactory condition for sampling.

Detailed karst surveys were completed for the constraints and route selection studies for the proposed N6 GCRR in 2014. The karst survey was updated in July 2016 following completion of site walkovers and ground investigations. The karst survey was then updated in 2024 following completion of additional walkovers, including those at the Galway Racecourse. The karst survey is presented in Appendix A.10.2. includes the 2014 original survey together with 2016 and 2024 survey results.

2.2.3 Commissioned Ground Investigations

Nine ground investigations were commissioned for the Project. These ground investigations included boreholes, trial pits and window sampling, which are fully described in Chapter 9, Soils and Geology. The ground investigations also included groundwater monitoring and groundwater testing. Eight of these ground investigations were undertaken to inform the 2018 EIAR. As the design of the proposed N6 GCRR has not changed since the 2018 EIAR, no additional intrusive investigations were undertaken. However, groundwater monitoring at the previously installed installations was undertaken to provide updated groundwater level and groundwater quality data. As additional works are proposed at Galway Racecourse as part of the Project, additional ground investigations were undertaken to inform the impact assessment of these works.

The full list of investigation is detailed in the following EIAR appendices:

- Groundwater Level Monitoring Report (July 2018 & March 2025) (Appendix A.10.3)
- Water Quality Monitoring Report (July 2018 & March 2025) (Appendix A.10.4)
- Aquifer Test Report (July 2018 & August 2024) (Appendix A.10.5)
- N6 GCTP Phase I Ground Investigation Contract I, November 2014. Factual Report (Appendix A.9.1.2)
- N6 GCTP Phase II Ground Investigation Contract I, November 2015. Factual Report (Appendix A.9.1.3)
- N6 GCTP Phase III Ground Investigation Contract I, June 2017. Factual Report (Appendix A.9.1.4)
- N6 GCTP Phase III Ground Investigation Contract II, May 2016. Factual Report (Appendix A.9.1.5)
- N6 GCTP Phase III Ground Investigation Contract III, April 2017. Factual Report (Appendix A.9.1.5)
- Galway Racecourse Ground Investigation, July 2024, Factual Report (Appendix A.9.1.6)

In summary, the total hydrogeological investigations for the Project comprised of the following project specific groundwater installations and testing, noting that the increase since the 2018 EIAR is due to the additional investigations carried out during 2023 and 2024:

- 37 No. Groundwater monitoring wells

- 20 No. Groundwater level monitoring rounds ¹
- 14 No. Groundwater quality monitoring rounds
- 15 No. Infiltration test
- 16 No. Small scale pumping test and variable head permeability tests
- 8 No. Packer tests
- 2 No. Pumping tests


All investigation locations were sited based on the location of Project design elements. Groundwater level, groundwater quality and aquifer testing were focused on locations of cuttings, structures and receptors, refer to Plate 1.

¹ Groundwater monitoring of water level and water quality was undertaken between February 2015 and April 2017. This was repeated also between November 2023 and July 2024

The February 2015 to April 2017 monitoring included a total of 16 groundwater monitoring rounds. Water level measurements on individual wells were also taken during commissioning, well testing and spot checks. In total 54 individual wells were regularly measured, which comprised of 34 project specific wells, 16 (2006 GCOB wells) and 4 private wells. Contractors also undertook a period of monitoring following the well installation for this project and their data is presented in the Ground Investigation Reports in EIAR Appendix A.9.1 For groundwater sampling was undertaken refer to EIAR Appendix 10.4 for details.

The November 2023 to July 2024 included a total of four rounds of the wells that were sampled for water quality during 2015 to 2017. Refer to EIAR Appendix 10.4 for details

Plate 1 Overview of the groundwater monitoring network for the Project



3. Designated European sites

As part of the hydrogeological studies (which is documented in Section 4), the groundwater bodies traversed by the Project have been identified and delineated using all available public information and hydrogeological data collected from ground investigations undertaken for the Project. It is important to recognise that the boundary for a groundwater body marks the catchment within which all recharge and groundwater flow is contained. Recharge and groundwater does not flow across the divide and in this regard, groundwater characteristics, such as water quality and seasonal groundwater level fluctuation, are isolated and specific to a particular groundwater body.

In terms of assessing the potential to impact on the hydrogeological regime of a European site, the focus of the assessment is on delineating and characterising those groundwater bodies (GWB) that the Project is adjacent to or traverses. Divides between groundwater bodies can be hydraulic barriers which isolate interaction between GWB or they may be a groundwater ridge, which can migrate laterally between seasons.

Those groundwater bodies separate from the Hydrogeology study area are not included in the assessment as groundwater impacts from the Project to those groundwater bodies are not possible.

This section identifies those European sites that have the potential to be impacted from a hydrogeological perspective. All European sites within 30km of the Project have been considered based on the potential for hydraulic connectivity or interaction. Based on assessment of each European site those beyond 15km to the 30km boundary do not have groundwater connectivity or interaction from the Project. On this basis those European Sites more than 15km from the Project are not considered further. All European sites within 15km of the Project have been considered using the precautionary principle.

The screening of all European sites within 15km of the Project is presented below in Table 1 and the sites are shown on Figure 14 of this updated NIS. Those European sites that are located in separate and distinct groundwater bodies or sub catchments are not considered further as there is no groundwater connection from the Project.

Table 1 Screening of European sites by Proximity to the Project

Site Name	Proximity to Project	Screening	Result
Black Head-Poulsallagh Complex SAC	11km	Site lies in separate groundwater body	Not considered further
Moneen Mountain SAC	13km	Site lies in separate groundwater body	Not considered further
Castletaylor Complex SAC	14km	Site lies in separate groundwater body	Not considered further
Galway Bay Complex SAC	0.2km	Site lies adjacent to groundwater body that the Project traverses	Impact assessment required
Lough Corrib SAC	0km	Site lies adjacent to groundwater body that the Project traverse	Impact assessment required
Rahasane Turlough SAC	13km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further
Lough Fingall Complex SAC	11km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further
Gortnandarragh Limestone Pavement SAC	14km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further


Site Name	Proximity to Project	Screening	Result
Kiltiernan Turlough SAC	14km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further
Ross Lake and Woods SAC	10km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further
East Burren Complex SAC	13km	Site lies in separate groundwater body	Not considered further
Connemara Bog Complex SAC	6km	Site lies in separate groundwater body	Not considered further
Ardrahan Grassland SAC	15km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further
Inner Galway Bay SPA	1km	Site lies adjacent to groundwater body that the Project traverses	Impact assessment required
Lough Corrib SPA	0.1km	Site lies adjacent to groundwater body that the Project traverses	Impact assessment required
Rahasane Turlough SPA	13km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further
Cregganna Marsh SPA	4km	Site lies in separate groundwater body sub catchment	Not considered further
Connemara Bog Complex SPA	9km	Site lies in separate groundwater body	Not considered further

Based on the above regional screening there are four European sites that are either located within or receiving groundwater from catchments that the Project traverses which is unchanged since the 2018 NIS. These European sites are:

- Lough Corrib SAC
- Lough Corrib SPA
- Galway Bay Complex SAC
- Inner Galway Bay SPA

A close up drawing of the Lough Corrib SAC and the Project Zone of Influence (ZoI) is presented in Plate 2. The aquifers that the European sites receive groundwater from (and the specific groundwater bodies that make up each aquifer) are presented in the following section (Section 4 - Receiving Environment).

Plate 2 Close up of the Lough Corrib SAC, Project Assessment Boundary and Project Zol



4. Receiving Environment

This section provides a characterisation of the hydrogeological receiving environment. This section has been updated since the 2018 NIS to take into account all additional groundwater monitoring undertaken during 2023 and 2024, an additional karst feature which was identified during 2023 and 2024 site walkovers, 2024 ground investigations at Galway Racecourse, and updated information available from EPA, GSI and OPW. This section provides an up-to-date assessment of the hydrogeological receiving environment. Importantly, the overall conceptualisation of the hydrogeological environment remains the same and critical determinations, such as maximum groundwater levels, remain unchanged.

This update to the Receiving Environment includes karst feature K328 south of the proposed N6 GCRR.

This section provides a characterisation of the hydrogeological receiving environment of the Project. The hydrogeological environment is presented firstly in the regional context using publicly available information and then secondly in detail for the hydrogeology study area based on information obtained specifically for the Project. The hydrogeological study area is divided into two main areas based on the contrasting aquifer properties for the two main geological rock types in the region. The bedrock geology may be divided into:

- The Galway Granite Batholith (comprising of granite and orthogranite) underlies the western section of the Project from the R336 Coast Road west of Bearna Village to the N59 Moycullen Road
- The Visean Undifferentiated Limestone, which underlies the eastern section of the Project from the N59 Moycullen Road to existing N6 at Coolagh

The available data on the hydrogeology of the Project is presented in this updated NIS Appendix A Figures 1.01, 1.02, 2.01, 2.02, 3.01, 3.02, 4.01, 4.02, 5.01 and 5.02. These figures show the bedrock aquifer classifications, location of karst landforms, extent of GSI groundwater bodies, groundwater vulnerability, GSI recharge estimations and location of groundwater receptors.

- Figures 1.01 and 1.02 Bedrock and karst features
- Figures 2.01 and 2.02 GSI groundwater bodies
- Figures 3.01 and 3.02 Groundwater vulnerability
- Figures 4.01 and 4.02 Groundwater recharge
- Figures 5.01 and 5.02 Revised Groundwater bodies (2017) and European Sites

The Galway Granite Batholith and the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone have contrasting aquifer properties. The GSI classify the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone as being a regionally important karst aquifer (Rkc) whilst the Galway Granite Batholith is classified as being a Poor Aquifer that is productive in local zones only (PI).

The description of the receiving environment will document the hydrogeological characteristics of aquifer classification, groundwater vulnerability, recharge, and groundwater receptors including aquifers, groundwater abstractions and groundwater dependant habitats.

The hydrogeology data on which this section is founded is provided in the following EIAR appendices:

- Appendix A.10.1 Well condition reports
- Appendix A.10.2 Karst survey report
- Appendix A.10.3 Groundwater level monitoring report
- Appendix A.10.4 Groundwater quality monitoring report
- Appendix A.10.5 Aquifer test reports

EIAR Appendix A.10.1: Well condition reports comprise of two reports, one from 2014 and one from 2023. Both well condition reports were undertaken and completed prior to the start of groundwater monitoring campaigns to assess the suitability of wells as part of the groundwater monitoring network. The 2014 report was included in the 2018 EIAR whilst the 2023 report presents new current data. The 2023 report was undertaken so that this updated EIAR could be updated with winter and summer groundwater levels for the 2023/2024 hydrometric year.

EIAR Appendix A.10.2 Karst survey report has been updated to include details of karst feature K328, which is a swallow hole located in the southern area of Galway Racecourse. Karst feature was not included in the 2018 EIAR and has been documented as part of site walkover at Galway Racecourse in 2023/2024. Except for the inclusion of feature K328, no other additional karst features have been included.

EIAR Appendix A.10.3 Water level monitoring report is a new addition to this updated EIAR. In the 2018 EIAR the appendix included data only. Appendix A.10.3 comprises of Project data, public data and the interpretation thereof. The Project data comprises of both the first (2014-2017) and second water (2023-2024) groundwater monitoring campaigns. The appendix also includes summary for all data including maximum and minimum values for all monitoring wells across both the Galway Granite Batholith and the Viséan Undifferentiated Limestone. Interpretation of these data sets is also included in the appendix. The groundwater levels in the area between the River Corrib and the N83, i.e. Section 3, are more complex than elsewhere along the Project. In order to aid the description of the groundwater levels and flow directions in this Section 3, groundwater contours have been interpreted for minimum and maximum groundwater levels and are included as figures in Appendix A.10.3. For completeness, the appendix also includes details and full records of OPW river level data for both the River Corrib at Dangan and for the Terryland River. Groundwater level summaries are provided in the main body of this updated EIAR based on the data that is presented in Appendix A.10.3. The conclusions of the 2018 EIAR remain valid for this updated EIAR.

EIAR Appendix A.10.4 Water quality monitoring report has been updated for this updated EIAR. In the 2018 EIAR the data presented was from the 2015 to 2017 groundwater monitoring campaign. For this updated EIAR the groundwater quality data from the 2023 to 2024 groundwater quality campaign has been included. The conclusions of the 2018 EIAR remain valid for this updated EIAR.

EIAR Appendix A.10.5 Aquifer test reports provide the details of all pumping test results and data from aquifer tests undertaken on the Project. The 2018 EIAR included one pumping test (PW01), 15 variable head tests and eight packer tests. The data that informed the 2018 EIAR is also included in Appendix A.10.5 of this updated EIAR and has been updated to include one additional pumping test undertaken at Galway Racecourse (TW101).

4.1 Galway Granite Batholith

The GSI descriptions for groundwater bodies in the Galway Granite Batholith describes the aquifer as being poor (Figure 1.01) with low storage and short groundwater pathways of up to generally 100m. These short pathways, typically along faults and fractures, are localised and generally only provide small yields. The water level data presented, identifies that the groundwater table remains close to the surface and generally follows the topography. On this basis groundwater contours will generally follow the topography, lowering towards the coast and the River Corrib. The Project either traverses or is adjacent to two groundwater bodies within the GSI database, namely the Spiddal GWB and Maam-Clonbur GWB in the Galway Granite Batholith (Figure 2.01). The GSI groundwater bodies within the granite are generally delineated by topography and based on the GI data collected. The groundwater levels show that the groundwater divide matches the topography that forms the watershed between surface water draining to Galway Bay and surface water draining to the River Corrib. On the basis of the GI data collected for this Project, the GSI groundwater bodies are confirmed as is and remain unchanged since the 2018 NIS as part of the assessment for the Project.

The overburden overlying the granite is generally less than 3m thick. The soil and subsoil comprises of glacial tills. This data indicates that the permeability of the subsoils is in the low to moderate range. Where overburden is present the groundwater levels are at or near surface. This data is used by the GSI to compile groundwater vulnerability maps (Figure 3.01).

The Galway Granite Batholith is considered to be a poor aquifer with Blanket bog cover, where rock is not exposed, limiting the quantity of recharge that can infiltrate to ground. Whilst most rainfall is running off to

streams and rivers, there will be a small component of groundwater that can infiltrate to ground and discharge to Galway Bay (less than 100mm/yr per unit area based on GSI data Figure 4.01). Spiddal GWB contributes to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA. The Maam-Clonbur GWB contributes to Lough Corrib SAC and Lough Corrib SPA (Figure 5.01) and as the River Corrib discharges into Galway Bay it indirectly contributes to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA.

The data collected for the Project correlates with the GSI division of groundwater bodies and on this basis, the extent and naming of these remains unchanged. From the Project data, groundwater flow in the Galway Granite Batholith is isolated to weathered zones and fracture zones only. On this basis, groundwater is generally not present except in locations where there are fractures but even in these locations groundwater is restricted to the fracture zone and will only move locally within it. Due to the nature of the bedrock, groundwater flows within these zones will likely flow for only short distances, of the order of 100m.

4.2 Visean Undifferentiated Limestone

The GSI has classified the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone as a regionally important aquifer that has karst conduit groundwater flow (Figure 1.02). The GSI has subdivided this aquifer into six groundwater bodies which are traversed or are adjacent to the Project (Figure 2.02). These comprise of:

- Ross Lake
- GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough)
- GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2
- GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 3 & 4
- Clarinbridge
- Clare-Corrib

The extents of the groundwater bodies (GWB) as mapped by the GSI are shown on Figure 2.02. These GWB, except for the Clarinbridge GWB, contribute directly to Lough Corrib SAC. Only GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB, GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 3 & 4 GWB, Ross Lake and Clare-Corrib GWB contribute to the Lough Corrib SPA. As the River Corrib discharges in Galway Bay all the above GWB that contribute to the River Corrib also contribute to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA.

The ground investigation (GI) data and water levels measured along the Project provide significantly more data points for the groundwater table than were available when the GSI mapped the extents of the GWB. Using the additional data, the boundaries for each groundwater body traversed or adjacent to the Project were refined as part of this assessment to provide a full assessment of all receptors that have the potential to be impacted by the Project. The refined GWB (2017) are presented in Figure 5.01 and 5.02. Note the refined GWB and sub-catchments remain unchanged from the 2018 EIAR.

Based on the 2017 data, there are three groundwater divides within the Visean Limestone Undifferentiated that are traversed by the Project and these comprise of the following locations:

- The River Corrib which forms a boundary between the Ross Lake GWB and the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
- A groundwater ridge between Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and the Clare Corrib GWB that lies c.200m to the west of Lackagh Quarry
- A deep buried valley along the N83 Tuam Road, which forms the boundary between the Clare-Corrib GWB and the Clarinbridge GWB

The GSI data shows that the limestone bedrock is generally at or close to surface, but that in a number of locations that deep subsoil is present. The GSI vulnerability data is presented in Figure 3.02, which also shows the location of a number of linear buried valleys (also referred to in academic texts as a palaeolandscape) where the bedrock is particularly deeply buried (up to 106m below ground level).

GSI estimations show that where the bedrock is close to surface then recharge will exceed 550mm/yr. (Figure 4.02). Where the subsoil is deeper, especially where buried valleys are present, then the recharge will be reduced.

The aquifer classification, karst, recharge estimations and vulnerability data available from the GSI show that the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone has the capacity for groundwater throughput of the order of 550mm/yr per unit area.

The GSI groundwater bodies have been updated based on the GI data obtained as part of the studies for the Project (Figure 5.02). In the section below, each groundwater body is described. Schematic sketches are used to present groundwater interactions at potential groundwater dependant terrestrial ecosystems (GWDTE).

Based on the Project GI the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone has been divided into the following groundwater bodies, listed below. These GWB are revised from the original GSI GWB and are presented in Figure 5.01 and 5.02. Where a GWB is further divided then the sub catchment name is presented in brackets after the GWB name for example Lough Corrib Fen 1 is divided in (Menlough) and (Lackagh).

- Lough Corrib Fen 1
- Lough Corrib Fen 2
- Lough Corrib Fen 3 & 4
- Clarinbridge
- Clare-Corrib
- Ross Lake

Each of the groundwater bodies are characterised below and discussed in terms of their interaction with surface water. In all cases the extent of the GWB is reviewed based on the project ground investigation and groundwater monitoring data. In most cases there has been refinement to the extent of groundwater bodies. The GWB retain the names provided by the GSI, however, the prefix of GWDTE is removed from those GWB named so by the GSI.

4.2.1 Ross Lake Groundwater Body

The Ross Lake GWB encompasses the limestone on the western side of the River Corrib. The extent of the Ross Lake GWB was revised based upon the ground investigation for the Project and the revised extent is presented in Figures 5.01 and 5.02.

The GWB receives recharge from rainfall but also runoff from the adjacent granite. There are several drains and ditches that cross from the granite and onto the Ross Lake GWB. As such, the surface catchment for the Ross Lake GWB includes runoff within the local catchment for the River Corrib. As the GWB boundary conditions are physical (i.e. bedrock contact and river) they do not fluctuate seasonally.

4.2.2 Lough Corrib Fen 1 Groundwater Body

Lough Corrib Fen 1 GWB extends east from the River Corrib to the townland of Coolough. The eastern extent of the GWB has been revised westwards to the townland of Coolough (Figure 5.02) to accommodate the groundwater divide identified between it and the Clare-Corrib GWB.

Lough Corrib Fen 1 GWB has been divided into two sub catchments, namely Menlough and Lackagh on the basis of the thick silt and clay subsoils (up to 106m deep) that occur in the townland of Coolough. These thick subsoils deposits, which underlie Coolagh Lakes and form a deep valley fill/palaeokarst feature west of Lackagh Quarry, compartmentalise the GWB so that Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) lies north of Coolagh Lakes and Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) forms a small sub catchment (<0.04km²) between Coolagh Lake and Lackagh Quarry. It is noted that Lackagh Quarry entirely lies within the revised Clare-Corrib GWB and not the revised Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB or Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB (Figure 5.02).

Groundwater flows westwards within the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB from the groundwater divide with the Clare-Corrib GWB to the Coolagh Lakes and the River Corrib. Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB supplies groundwater to Coolagh Lakes via the Western Coolagh Spring (K25).

Due to the compartmentalisation of the aquifer by buried valleys/palaeokarst, the groundwater in Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB is largely contained, with potential for small scale seepage from the limestone aquifer through the clayey subsoil to the Eastern Coolagh Spring but due to the low permeability and thickness of the clayey subsoil, these potential seepages are very small. Groundwater flow from Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) may flow eastwards to Lackagh Quarry during peak groundwater levels.

If present, seepages from the subsoil to the Eastern Coolagh Spring would represent a very small fraction of the groundwater contribution to Coolagh Lakes compared to the karst inflow at Western Coolagh Spring (K25), which provides the main groundwater contribution flow to Coolagh Lakes. As described in Section 10.3.2.2 the Eastern Coolagh Spring (K45) is not a karst spring because it sits on thick clay subsoil as evidenced by ground investigations (GI).

Coolagh Lakes lie in a low-lying area that are shown by GSI data as well as records from 2006 GCOB GI and observations from the site walkover to be underlain by thickness of low permeability overburden and that the overburden adjacent to Upper Coolagh Lake comprises of silt and clay. On this basis, groundwater inflows through the base and margins of the lakes will be at a low seepage rate but around the full periphery they may provide a significant wetted margin. The only significant karst inflow to Coolagh Lakes is via the Western Coolagh Spring (K25).

Groundwater contribution to Coolagh Lakes from the Eastern Coolagh Spring and any other potential seepages (such as underwater springs from the margin of the Clare-Corrib GWB) are very limited due to the thick clay subsoil that fills the buried valley and forms a very low permeability barrier to the limestone aquifer. Plate and Plate below show the interactions between the Coolagh Lakes and Western Coolagh Spring at high and low groundwater levels.

During periods of high groundwater levels groundwater contributes flow to the lakes (Plate 3), while during the summer the groundwater level lowers to just above the lake level and the springs have minimal flow. Plate 4 below, shows the relationship between groundwater levels and surface water levels in the springs that feed Coolagh Upper Lake.




Plate 3 Schematic north south Cross-section through Coolagh Lakes (Groundwater High)




Plate 4 Schematic north south cross-section through Coolagh Lakes (groundwater low)

Groundwater hydrographs for the GWB are presented below (Plate 5) and show the groundwater responses in the aquifer locally as well as levels at the Western Coolagh Spring and Eastern Coolagh Spring. The data presented in these hydrographs is presented in EIAR Appendix A.10.3 – Groundwater Level Monitoring Report. Plan and Profile cross-sections along the alignment of the proposed N6 GCRR centreline are presented in EIAR Figures 10.6.001 to 10.6.0012, which also show minimum and maximum groundwater levels.




Plate 5 Groundwater and Surface Water levels at Coolagh Lakes

Groundwater hydrographs for the Menlough area are presented above (Plate 5), and show the groundwater responses in the aquifer locally as well as levels at the Western Coolagh Spring, Eastern Coolagh Spring as well as Upper and Lower Coolagh Lakes.

Eastern Coolagh Spring, which is elevated higher than Western Coolagh Spring remains above the local groundwater table during all but peak groundwater levels. This shows that Western Coolagh Spring is the main contributor of groundwater to the Upper Coolagh Lake.

The hydrograph (Plate 5) shows that groundwater levels remain above both Upper and Lower Coolagh Lakes. On this basis groundwater contributes to the Coolagh Lakes throughout the year, although in the summertime the contribution is slight and during extended dry periods the groundwater contribution is very slight.

As the western boundary of the GWB is a river, there is no seasonal variance in this boundary as it is physically bound. However, as the eastern boundary is formed by a groundwater divide, the seasonal fluctuation in groundwater levels has the potential to cause the divide to shift laterally.

Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB is a significant groundwater contributor (via Western Coolagh Spring (K25)) to Coolagh Lakes. The Coolagh Lakes discharge to the River Corrib, both of which are part of the Lough Corrib SAC and therefore the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB contributes to this SAC. As the River Corrib discharges into Galway Bay this GWB also contributes (indirectly) to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA. The generalised hydrogeology of the area, with flow directions, was presented graphically in the 2019 RFI to explain the interaction of groundwater with the European sites and is shown in ~Plate 6. This has been updated slightly since 2019 due to the small boundary change of the Lough Corrib SAC.




Plate 6 Generalised Hydrogeology Interactions with European sites

4.2.3 Lough Corrib Fen 2 Groundwater Body

Based on the groundwater level data collected for the Project, the southern boundary of the GWB as per GSI is set 0.3km too far south. Using the water level data for the Project the GWB extent has been updated and is shown in Figure 5.02.

Although the Project does not extend into this GWB (Figure 5.02) the divide between the GWB and the adjacent Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB sub catchment lies near it. On this basis of the proximity the Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB is considered as one of the GWB traversed by the Project.

The seasonal fluctuation in the GWB is 2.5m, as recorded in monitoring well RC133 (refer to EIAR Appendix A.10.5), which is located close to the groundwater divide with Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough). Based upon this relatively slight seasonal variation and relatively low hydraulic gradients in the area, the groundwater divide between Lough Corrib Fen 2 and Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) is stable across the seasons and does not fluctuate laterally.

GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB contributes directly to Lough Corrib SAC and Lough Corrib SPA. As the River Corrib discharges into Galway Bay this GWB also contributes (indirectly) to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA.

4.2.4 Lough Corrib Fen 3 and 4 Groundwater Body

Based on groundwater level data collected for the Project, the southern extent of the GSI GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 3 and 4 GWB has been reduced and been moved north by over 2km. As such, the Project does not cross nor is adjacent to the Lough Corrib Fen 3 and 4 GWB.

Lough Corrib Fen 3 and 4 GWB contributes to Lough Corrib SAC and Lough Corrib SPA, however, the GWB does not have the potential to be impacted by the Project as it is up gradient and 2km distant of the Project.

4.2.5 Clare-Corrib Groundwater Body

Based on the groundwater level data collected for the Project, the extent of the Clare-Corrib GWB has been revised with the GWB extended further west, as far as the townland of Coolough, near Menlough, and further east to the N83 Tuam Road (Figure 5.02). As presented earlier the GWB is divided into three sub catchments, named Terryland, Ballindooley West and Ballindooley East.

There are a number of surface water features in the GWB that have the potential to interact with European sites. These features include Ballindooley Lough and the Terryland River. The hydrogeological aspects of these features are presented below.

Ballindooley Lough

Geophysics undertaken south of Ballindooley Lough for this project and north of the Ballindooley Lough for 2006 GCOB indicate that thick subsoils underlie the extents of Ballindooley Lough. From this data it is inferred that a feature, such as a buried valley, underlies the length of the lake. The subsoils below the lake explain the permanent perching of the surface water level when groundwater levels are low.

The groundwater data shows that Ballindooley Lough lies up gradient of the Project. The data also shows the lough to be perched during the summer when groundwater levels (RP-2-01 & RC1104) drop below the lake water level (Plate 7 and Plate 8). On this basis, during low groundwater levels the perched water in Ballindooley Lough and the groundwater in the limestone aquifer form separate and distinct water bodies.




Plate 7 Schematic east west Cross-section through Ballindooley Lough showing the Interaction of Groundwater with the Lake during High Groundwater Levels




Plate 8 Schematic east west Cross-section through Ballindooley Lough showing the Interaction of Groundwater with the Lake during Low Groundwater Levels

During the winter, the lake level in Ballindooley Lough and the groundwater level in the limestone aquifer are in continuity. On this basis, Ballindooley Lough only receives groundwater during high groundwater levels.

Bathymetry of Ballindooley Lough shows that the lake has a max depth of 10m (-2.5m OD). Based on the geophysics data and the analyses that the summer lake water level is distinct from groundwater, then the base of summer water level in Ballindooley Lough lies on low permeability subsoil and not limestone.

The hydrographs for the GWB below in Plate 9 show that the groundwater level in wells surrounding Ballindooley Lough are continuous with the level of Ballindooley Lough during the winter. However, in the summer the groundwater level lowers below the permanent water level of the lough perching it.

Also notable are the groundwater levels in monitoring well RP-2-03 located 300m south of Ballindooley Lough (Plate 1) are significantly lower than other groundwater levels in the area and the surface water level at Ballindooley Lough. The lower water table in RP-2-03 indicates the direction of flow southwards within the groundwater body. The winter groundwater flow from Ballindooley will drain southwards to Galway Bay. During peak storm events groundwater from Ballindooley may drain to the Terryland River.




Plate 9 Groundwater and Surface Water Levels at Ballindooley Lough

Terryland River

Under normal conditions the Terryland River sinks at two stream sinks, named Pollavurleen West and East (K87 and K96) near Glenanail (Refer to karst study in Figure 1.02 and Plate 10). However, during high groundwater levels, the Terryland sinks become resurgences and discharge groundwater into the Terryland River. OPW data shows that whilst water levels in the Terryland River do backup, rise and cause local flooding when the sinks turn to resurgences (refer to Plate 11). For the OPW data record (refer to EIAR Appendix A10.3) the Terryland River levels have remained significantly lower than the River Corrib and there have been no incidences on record of water backing up to the River Corrib for the period that data is available (2021-2025). The extent of flooding was not recorded during the extremely wet winter of 2015/2016, and the extent of flooding was not recorded. On this basis during extreme flooding it is considered that there could be potential for the Terryland River to backup to the River Corrib. OPW data for the Terryland River, River Corrib and Galway Bay tidal levels are presented and discussed in detail in EIAR Appendix A.10.3.

The switching between sink to resurgence means these features should be considered ‘estavelles’. Whilst the sinks are located near limestone outcrop, the Terryland River flows for its length along a low-lying area that has thick overburden. The low permeability of the subsoil here carries the surface water across the valley until the limestone is met at the southern side of the valley.




Plate 10 Schematic north south Cross-section through Terryland River showing the Operation of the Estavelles at Pollavurleen West and East during High Groundwater Levels




Plate 11 Schematic north south Cross-section through Terryland River showing the Operation of the Estavelles at Pollavurleen West and East during Low Groundwater Levels

Clare - Corrib GWB contributes directly to Lough Corrib SAC and Lough Corrib SPA. As the River Corrib discharges into Galway Bay this GWB also contributes (indirectly) to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA.

4.2.6 Clarinbridge Groundwater Body

The Clarinbridge GWB as delineated by the GSI is a large groundwater body that extends from Galway City eastwards past Galway Airport to Athenry and then south from Athenry to Clarinbridge and as far south as Ardrahan. As the Clarinbridge GWB extends over a number of rivers and streams it can be subdivided into several smaller groundwater bodies that are distinct and isolated from each other. One of the sub-catchments of the Clarinbridge GWB is the area between the N83 Tuam Road and the existing N6 Coolagh Roundabout, which is restricted to the higher ground between Galway Airport and Ballybrit. Of note is that this area does not include any significant karst landforms.

The divide between the Clarinbridge GWB and the Clare-Corrib GWB is marked by the thick overburden deposits along the line of the N83 Tuam Road. These thick low permeability superficial deposits have been proven to a minimum depth of 30m. Conceptually, these thick low permeability deposits form a hydraulic barrier between the Clare-Corrib and Clarinbridge groundwater bodies.

The groundwater level monitoring data collected in the Clarinbridge GWB for the Project has a shallow water table relative to the rest of the study area. The shallow groundwater table is generally within 4m of the surface and follows the topography, lowering southwards towards Galway Bay. The seasonal fluctuation in the aquifer is of the order of 1m to 2m. The characteristics of the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone at Briarhill indicate lower aquifer properties, with fracture flow present but no conduit flow indicated or karst landforms present.

The Clarinbridge GWB at Briarhill contributes groundwater to Galway Bay, although the lack of karst in the local area indicates that there are no significant pathways. South of Ballybrit at the Galway Racecourse there is swallow hole (K328), which indicates that more significant karst may be present between the racecourse and the Terryland River. It is also noted that drilling investigation at Galway Racecourse indicates high permeability limestone with karst pathways present at depth. The limestone below Galway Racecourse is similar to that encountered in Section 3 albeit hydraulically separated by Section 3 by the major buried valley below the N83 Tuam Road.

The shallow groundwater in the Clarinbridge GWB at Briarhill contributes to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA. The deep groundwater below the racecourse also contributes to Galway Bay Complex SAC and Inner Galway Bay SPA. However, as the deep groundwater has karst pathways, it is likely to connect with the estavelles in the Terryland River.

4.3 Summary

In summary, the Project traverses the following groundwater bodies which support GWDTE in Lough Corrib SAC, Lough Corrib SPA, Galway Bay Complex SAC or Inner Galway Bay SPA:

- Spiddal
- Maam – Clonbur
- Ross Lake
- Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) and Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh)
- GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2
- Clare-Corrib
- Clarinbridge

A breakdown of the contributions from groundwater bodies traversed by the Project that contribute to European sites are summarised below in Table 2.

Table 2 Summary of groundwater bodies traversed by the Project that contribute to European sites

Groundwater Body	Lough Corrib SAC	Lough Corrib SPA	Galway Bay Complex SAC	Inner Galway Bay SPA
Spiddal GWB	-	-	Contributes	Contributes
Maam Clonbur GWB	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes
Ross Lake GWB	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes
Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB	Contributes	-	Contributes	Contributes
Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes
Clare-Corrib GWB	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes	Contributes

Groundwater Body	Lough Corrib SAC	Lough Corrib SPA	Galway Bay Complex SAC	Inner Galway Bay SPA
Clarinbridge GWB	Contributes (during high flow)	-	Contributes	Contributes

5. Evaluation of Impacts

The potential impacts from the Project to groundwater bodies that support groundwater dependant terrestrial ecosystems (GWDTE) within European sites are presented in this section of the report. Those groundwater bodies with the potential to be impacted are detailed above in Section 4.3. NIS Appendix L provides a detailed eco-hydrogeology summary report for Lough Corrib SAC, which was presented during the 2020 Oral Hearing. The information presented in Appendix L is based on information and data presented in this updated Appendix A.

5.1 Assessment Methodology

The potential impacts to groundwater bodies from the Project are considered under construction and operation phase impacts. The potential impacts within the hydrogeological zone of influence (ZoI) from the construction phase are presented in Section 5.2 and those from the operation phase are presented in Section 5.3. The hydrogeological ZoI during the construction phase (no mitigation) for the Project is shown on Figures 6.01 and 6.02 and Figures 7.01 to 7.02 for the operational phase (no mitigation).

The characteristics which determine the ZoI and in turn informs the potential impacts are:

- The proximity to the groundwater body that supports the GWDTE at the European site
- Hydraulic connectivity between the Project and the groundwater bodies supporting GWDTE at European site
- The groundwater flow direction in the vicinity
- The seasonal fluctuation in groundwater level
- The water quality of the feature and the groundwater from which it receives its baseflow
- The level of excavation (whether in a road cutting or tunnel) of the Project relative to the seasonal fluctuation in the groundwater table
- The activities during the construction and operational phases of the Project that have the potential to pollute

Potential impacts on groundwater quantity are calculated based on the drawdown extent for those sections of the Project that require dewatering of the bedrock aquifer. The drawdown extent is presented as a ZoI for both the construction and operation phases of the Project. For potential water quantity impacts from dewatering of the bedrock aquifer the ZoI is presented as a radius on either side of the Project that takes into account the aquifer properties and the hydraulic gradient.

Potential water quality impacts occur from pollutants, which differ between the construction and operation phases of the Project. The potential of pollution of groundwater is assessed based on TII HD45/15 guidelines. The Geology Survey of Ireland provides guidance on aquifer classification and groundwater vulnerability both of which are part of the HD45/15 groundwater response matrix for the use of permeable drains in road schemes, presented below in Table 3. The groundwater protection response (GPR) is specific to the use of permeable drains in road schemes and does not deal with spillages.

Table 3 Groundwater response matrix for the use of permeable drains in road schemes (TII, HD45/15, 2015)

Vulnerability rating	Source protection area	Resource protection area (aquifer category)							
		Regionally Important Aquifer			Locally Important Aquifer			Poor aquifer	
		Rk*	Rf	Rg	Lg	Lm	LI	PI	Pu
Extreme: Rock near Surface or karst (X)	R4	R4	R4	R3(2)	R3(2)	R3(1)	R3(1)	R3(1)	R3(1)
Extreme (E)	R4	R2(3)	R2(2)	R3(2)	R3(2)	R2(2)	R2(2)	R2(1)	R2(1)
High (H)	R3(2)	R2(2)	R2(2)	R2(2)	R2(2)	R2(2)	R2(2)	R2(1)	R2(1)
Moderate (M)	R3(1)	R2(1)	R2(1)			R2(1)	R2(1)	R1	R1
Low (L)	R3(1)	R1	R1			R1	R1	R1	R1

* A small proportion of the country (~0.6%) is underlain by locally important karstic aquifers (Lk); in these areas, the groundwater protection responses for the Rk groundwater protection zone shall apply.

Groundwater vulnerability assesses the geological and hydrogeological characteristics of the subsoil overlying the aquifer and provides a rating response and these are presented below in Table 4. The GSI have a distinction between extreme vulnerability with bedrock at surface (X) and extreme vulnerability with subsoil being 0-3m thick (E) that is not presented in the groundwater vulnerability summary table. This distinction between extreme (X) and extreme (E) is shown in the TII HD45/15 GPR.

Table 4 Groundwater vulnerability summary (Geological Survey of Ireland)

Vulnerability Rating	Hydrogeological Conditions				
	Subsoil Permeability (Type) and Thickness			Unsaturated Zone	Karst Features
	High permeability (sand/gravel)	Moderate permeability (e.g. Sandy subsoil)	Low permeability (e.g. Clayey subsoil, clay, peat)	(Sand/gravel aquifers only)	(<30 m radius)
Extreme (E)	0 - 3.0m	0 - 3.0m	0 - 3.0m	0 - 3.0m	-
High (H)	> 3.0m	3.0 - 10.0m	3.0 - 5.0m	> 3.0m	N/A
Moderate (M)	N/A	> 10.0m	5.0 - 10.0m	N/A	N/A
Low (L)	N/A	N/A	> 10.0m	N/A	N/A

Notes: (1) N/A = not applicable.
(2) Precise permeability values cannot be given at present.
(3) Release point of contaminants is assumed to be 1-2 m below ground surface.

Groundwater flows can be more complex in limestone than granite due to karst pathways and the ground investigation data includes aquifer testing of both rock types to calculate their range of hydraulic conductivity. The aquifer testing is presented in Plate 12 below for granite and limestone. This data shows that whilst the granite has a relatively narrow range of values, the limestone spans a significantly wider range. For the limestone areas, this data (as well as the spatial distribution of karst features) is used to identify those areas where karst flow paths through the aquifer are likely but also areas where such pathways are not present. These assessments are based on a combination of trial pits, window samples, drilling, surface geophysical surveys, pumping test and groundwater monitoring and interpretation.

The karst survey (Figure 1.01 and 1.02) undertaken is used as an indicator that karst pathways are present within groundwater bodies. The observation of conduit flow at Western Coolagh Spring (K25) data and relative abundance of karst features in the area are indicative that karst pathways are likely within Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB. Similarly, the karst survey identifies karst landforms across the Clare-Corrib GWB, which is also likely to have conduit pathways in the GWB. However, the karst survey has discounted all significant karst landforms in the footprint of the Project in the Clarinbridge GWB between the N83 Tuam Road and the existing N6 Coolagh Roundabout. Features up gradient at K172, 175 and 179 were not found and are likely abandoned dug wells. The only karst features noted are springs K126 and K132, which lie 1km down gradient of the Project.

Aquifer testing data also shows the distribution of hydraulic conductivity values measured at monitoring well locations along the Project (Plate 1). Plate 9 below shows the distribution of hydraulic conductivity and Table 7 shows the distribution for both granite and limestone. This data shows that those groundwater bodies that do not have karst, the Galway Granite Batholith and the Clarinbridge GWB, have a relatively narrow range of hydraulic conductivity. In contrast the Viséan Undifferentiated Limestone has significant karst in the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, the GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB and the Clare-Corrib GWB. In these areas where karst is present the range of hydraulic conductivity is wider. Notably the maximum hydraulic conductivity is an order of magnitude higher (Table 5).

Table 5 Distribution of Calculated Hydraulic Conductivity (Packer tests, variable Head tests and Pumping tests)

Geological Unit	GWB	Min hydraulic conductivity m/s	Max hydraulic conductivity m/s
Galway Granite Batholith	Spiddal Maam - Clonbur	9.7×10^{-7}	4.6×10^{-6}
Viséan Limestone Undifferentiated	Lough Corrib Fen 1 Clare-Corrib Clarinbridge (deep aquifer)	5.0×10^{-9}	5.3×10^{-4}
Viséan Limestone Undifferentiated	Clarinbridge (shallow aquifer)	4.2×10^{-7}	1.7×10^{-6}




Plate 12 Distribution of Calculated Hydraulic Conductivity

The Galway Granite Batholith is 2,378km² (2,378,000,000m²) in size (GSI Groundwater data viewer) and 1,000m thick (Pracht, 2015), giving an aquifer volume of 2,378,800,000,000m³. A total of 905,345m³ of granite will be excavated for the construction of the Project. This volume is a very small percentage of the aquifer volume and for this reason there will be no significant impact to the hydrogeological regime of a European site from the removal of granite.

The Visean Undifferentiated Limestone is 7,062km² (7,062,000,000m²) in size (GSI Groundwater data viewer) and 400m thick (Pracht, 2015), giving an aquifer volume of 2,824,800,000,000m³. A total of 2,096,175m³ of limestone will be excavated for the construction of the Project, which is a very small percentage of the aquifer volume. There will be no significant impact to the hydrogeological regime of a European site from the removal of limestone.

5.2 Construction Phase

The hydrogeological zone of influence (ZoI) is the maximum area where there is a potential hydrogeological impact from the Project. Impacts to groundwater quantity are caused by dewatering of the bedrock aquifer in excavations for construction of structures, tunnels and cuttings. Impacts to groundwater quality are caused by infiltration of contaminants to ground from the construction of the Project. Groundwater at risk of potential pollution occurs as an area down gradient from pollutant sources.

5.2.1 Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow

Potential impacts to groundwater flow are primarily caused by dewatering of the bedrock aquifer but impacts can also occur where groundwater pathways, specifically conduits in karst, are intercepted and modified by the Project. The impact assessment below mainly deals with dewatering of the bedrock aquifer impacts but where there is a risk of a pathway interception this is identified and assessed.

The construction drawdown ZoI, calculated as a radius from excavations along the Project, is presented below in Table 6. The ZoI is calculated based on the upper range of properties determined for each aquifer and the radius of this ZoI (radius of influence) is calculated from the proposed road cutting face or drain that groundwater emerges from.

The calculations below for the radius of influence for dewatering of the bedrock aquifer impacts are based on the design of the Project, which includes construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer at the following locations:

- Interception of groundwater for cuttings in the Galway Granite Batholith, with groundwater discharged to surface watercourses
- The cutting at EW27 may encounter groundwater seasonally during peak groundwater levels in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone. The design includes drainage to intercept and carry groundwater away from the construction for discharge in the same groundwater body
- The Galway Racecourse Tunnel and its approaches will include dewatering of the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone. The construction drainage will intercept and carry groundwater away from the construction for discharge in the same groundwater body

Table 6 Summary of the drawdown Zol at earthwork (EW) locations from dewatering of the bedrock aquifer during construction (pre-mitigation measures)

Feature type	Earthworks Ref. No.	Approx. Chainage	Length (m)	Max. depth of finished road level (m BGL)	Max. depth of construction excavation (m BGL)	Depth to peak winter groundwater level (m BGL)	Max. groundwater drawdown (m)	Max. Zone of influence radius (m) from footprint of the Project
Galway Granite Batholith– R336 to N59 Moycullen Road								
Road Cutting	EW01	0+000 –0+500	500	1.3	4.3	1.1	3	15
Road Cutting	EW02	1+150 – 1+350	200	0.9	3.9	1.9	2	9
Road Cutting	EW02	1+600 – 1+950	350	1.0	4.0	1.1	3	14
Road Cutting	EW02	2+230 – 2+640	410	3.1	6.1	3.6	2	12
Road Cutting	EW04	3+100 – 4+080	980	5.9	8.9	1.5	8	35
Road Cutting	EW07	5+250 – 5+580	330	5.3	8.3	3.4	5	23
Road Cutting Letteragh Junction	EW11	7+600 – 8+280	690	14.4	17.4	5.7	12	54
Viscaen Undifferentiated Limestone - River Corrib to existing N6 Coolagh, Briarhill								
River Corrib Structure	EW15	9+300 – 9+500	200	(Above ground)	(Above ground)	(Above ground)	0	0
Menlough Viaduct	EW17	9+500 – 10+100	600	(Above ground)	(Above ground)	(Above ground)	0	0
Western Approach to Lackagh Tunnel	EW19	10+810 – 11+140	350	13.5	16.5	12.2	0	0
Lackagh Tunnel	EW20	11+140 – 11+420	270	Tunnel	Tunnel	Tunnel	0	0
Road Cutting	EW22	11+720 –11+920	200	24.6	27.6	35.5	0	0
Road Cutting	EW25	12+500 – 12+920	370	7.6	10.6	15.6	0	0
Road Cutting	EW27	13+050 – 13+650	600	12.0	15.0	12.1	3	70
Road Cutting	EW28	14+150 – 14+450	300	12.3	15.3	18.6	0	0
Western Approach to Galway Racecourse Tunnel	EW30	14+450 – 14+950	500	11.4	14.4	18.5*5	2	14
Galway Racecourse Tunnel	EW31	14+950 – 15+190	240	9.2	12.2	8.9	4	35

Feature type	Earthworks Ref. No.	Approx. Chainage	Length (m)	Max. depth of finished road level (m BGL)	Max. depth of construction excavation (m BGL)	Depth to peak winter groundwater level (m BGL)	Max. groundwater drawdown (m)	Max. Zone of influence radius (m) from footprint of the Project
Eastern Approach to Galway Racecourse Tunnel	EW32	15+190 – 15+500	310	8.7	11.7	7.8	4	35
Road Cutting	EW34-35	16+200 – 17+500	740	6.8	9.8	11.9*6	2	12

Notes:

Structure depths are presented to 10cm. Predicted drawdown and radius are rounded up to nearest 1m.

The maximum depth of proposed road level refers to the finished road level. Structures will require excavation to a maximum depth of 3m below road level for installation of drainage and dewatering sumps/trenches.

5.2.1.1 Galway Granite Batholith

As shown in Table 8 above, there are seven cuttings in the Galway Granite Batholith namely, EW01, EW02 (three cuttings), EW04, EW07 and EW11. Using the maximum groundwater levels for each location these seven cuttings have the potential to intersect the groundwater table locally. EW11 has the largest ZoI with drawdown extending up to 54m laterally from the footprint of the Project.

Using the aquifer properties shown in Table 5, the construction drawdown ZoI within the Galway Granite Batholith will yield low volumes of groundwater. As the groundwater divides in the Galway Granite Batholith follow topography and the surface water divides, all groundwater intercepted by collection drains will remain within the stream catchments that they would naturally have been received by.

Construction dewatering in the Galway Granite Batholith will locally lower groundwater levels in cuttings where dewatering of the bedrock aquifer is required. As groundwater is managed within the local surface water catchments that they would naturally drain to there will be no net change in the groundwater contribution to surface water receptors. On this basis there will be no impact on the quantity of groundwater received by European sites.

The pre-mitigation construction impacts for the Project for the Galway Granite Batholith are presented in Figure 6.01.

5.2.1.2 Visean Undifferentiated Limestone

As shown in Table 8 above, there are fifteen earthworks in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone with excavations required during construction. Inferring between the maximum groundwater levels presented in Table 8 then nine of these earthworks have the potential to intersect the groundwater table locally. These include the piers of the River Corrib Bridge and Menlough viaduct, four road cuttings (EW27, EW29, EW34 and EW35), three tunnel approach cuttings (EW19, EW30 and EW27) and two tunnels (EW20 Lackagh Tunnel and EW31 Galway Racecourse Tunnel).

Of the earthworks in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone, those between EW15 and EW27 have the potential to intersect karst, as well as side roads at Ard Na Locha, N59 Moycullen Road and Aughnacurra. Karst may also be intersected during the excavation of infiltration basins located on GWBs with the potential for karst, those infiltration basins that have the potential to intercept karst comprise of S19a, S19b, S21a, S21b and S22a. All of these listed features occur in the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and the Clare-Corrib GWB. If karst is intercepted in any of these earthworks or infiltration basins excavations, then there is a risk that without mitigation there could be point input of runoff (such as a doline) from the construction site directly to the aquifer. Measures for mitigating against karst features is dealt with in Section 6. Earthworks and infiltration basins located within the Clarinbridge GWB are not considered to have the potential to encounter karst and as such do not have this risk.

The River Corrib Bridge (EW15) is included in the list of earthworks as excavations will be required on the east and west banks to install piers. These excavations will extend below the groundwater table and will require dewatering of the bedrock aquifer for dry working conditions. Due to their close proximity to the River Corrib the groundwater and surface water is in continuity. As the excavations occur on the margin of the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and Ross Lake GWB, the potential for impact is only to the groundwater contribution to the River Corrib and not to Coolagh Lakes. Mitigation will be required to ensure that groundwater flow paths to the River Corrib will not be impacted, refer to Section 6.

The Menlough Viaduct (EW17) is included in the list of earthworks as although the structure lies above ground, excavation of subsoil and weathered limestone may be required to found the piers on competent rock. Based on the construction design the excavation footprint will be kept as small as possible, with the foundation depth kept close to ground level. No dewatering of the bedrock aquifer will be undertaken during construction of the bridge piers for the Menlough Viaduct. Concrete will need to be poured as part of the construction of the piers and there is a risk that concrete may enter the aquifer if karst is present in the foundation excavations, which could block pathways and modify the contribution of groundwater to European sites. As a result, there is a potential impact on the quantity of groundwater flow to European sites. Measures have been developed to mitigate these impacts and these are presented in Section 6.

The Western Approach to Lackagh Tunnel (EW19) and Lackagh Tunnel (EW20) are located at the groundwater divide between Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) and Clare-Corrib GWBs. Dewatering of the bedrock aquifer is not included as part of the design owing to the sensitivity of the groundwater divide, specifically for the surface area for the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB on which the Western Coolagh Spring and therefore the Coolagh Lakes (part of the Lough Corrib SAC) are dependent on.

Dewatering of the bedrock aquifer will not be permitted during the construction of EW19 and EW20 so there is no reduction in groundwater flow transmitted by these pathways through the aquifer to the GWDTE. This will also maintain the boundary between Clare-Corrib GWB and Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB. All construction works will remain above the groundwater table for the duration of the works to ensure the groundwater table is not intercepted and dewatering of the bedrock aquifer is not required. The construction schedule will be tailored so that the excavation of the lower section will occur only during the groundwater low when the water table is below the construction level.

On this basis there will be no drawdown in the Western Approach to Lackagh Tunnel (EW19) and Lackagh Tunnel (EW20) and therefore no impact to the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB or the groundwater divide between the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and the Clare-Corrib GWB.

The Western Approach to Lackagh Tunnel (EW19) and Lackagh Tunnel (EW20) are discussed in further detail in Appendix F of the updated NIS.

Cutting EW27 lies west of the N83 Tuam Road in a cutting through Visean Undifferentiated Limestone. The groundwater levels in this part of the Clare-Corrib GWB show a seasonal variation of 9m (ref BH3/34). Groundwater has the potential to enter the cutting during peak winter water levels only. Groundwater will be controlled within the excavation by collection in drains or sumps. If groundwater is intercepted, it will be piped and discharged at an infiltration basin within the same GWB. This is shown in Figure 6.02 and represents the maximum drawdown based on construction during peak groundwater conditions. Potential impact from the dewatering of the bedrock aquifer is localised around the cutting, as the intercepted groundwater is controlled and infiltrates back to the same groundwater body there is no impact on the groundwater support to European sites.

Within the Clarinbridge GWB, the aquifer properties (specifically hydraulic conductivity) are low for a limestone classified as regionally important (Rkc) by the GSI. The characteristics shown in Table 5 are indicative of a locally important aquifer rather than regionally important aquifer. There are four earthworks in the Clarinbridge GWB that will intercept groundwater. These comprise of two cuttings (EW34 and EW35), the Galway Racecourse Tunnel (EW31) and its eastern and western approaches (EW30 and EW32 respectively). Construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer at the western portal and approach will be required during peak groundwater levels only, whilst the construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer at the eastern portal will be required during summer and winter.

The impact from construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer at EW30, EW31, EW32, EW34 and EW35 is calculated based on the aquifer properties measured at the Briarhill area of the Clarinbridge GWB, which have been measured as lower than the properties of the other GWBs in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone traversed by the Project. All groundwater abstraction required for construction will be piped eastwards along the alignment of the Project and discharged to existing storm sewer or infiltration basins designed for the operation phase of the Project. Based on the ZoI, Figure 6.02 shows the maximum impact from construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer at EW29, EW, EW27 and EW29. All intercepted groundwater will be piped away from the cuttings to infiltration basins within the same GWB. Construction dewatering in the Clarinbridge GWB will not impact on the quantity of groundwater contribution to European sites.

5.2.1.3 Summary of Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow

In summary, potential impact to groundwater flow paths may occur due to construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer or from karst encountered in excavations. Where karst is encountered during construction then there is a risk of increased point input to groundwater via the karst feature which could increase or reactivate flow paths in the aquifer that had been sediment filled. There is also a risk of conduit pathways becoming blocked if excavations encounter karst and concrete is poured as part of the construction. Encountering karst is dealt with as a mitigation measure which is discussed in Section 6. No groundwater impacts are predicted where karst is not present.

Drawdown impacts may occur at specific locations along the Project where dewatering of the bedrock aquifer will occur. These dewatering locations are summarised below:

- The groundwater table of the Galway Granite Batholith will be intersected in earthworks EW01, 02 (three cuttings), 04, 07 and 09. Groundwater intercepted will be collected and piped to the surface water receptor it would naturally have drained to. By maintaining groundwater collection and discharge within the same surface water catchments there will be no net change in the groundwater contribution in the Galway Granite Batholith to receiving waters. On this basis there will be no impact on the groundwater flow rates to European sites
- The Menlough Viaduct will have no dewatering of the bedrock aquifer associated with its construction. As a result there will be no reduction in groundwater flow rates due to dewatering to a European site
- As part of the design of the Project there will be no dewatering of the bedrock aquifer undertaken at Lackagh Tunnel or its approaches, furthermore the construction sequence will take into account the seasonal groundwater fluctuation. During the winter groundwater high it may be necessary to limit the depth of works so that dewatering of the bedrock aquifer is not required. As there is no dewatering of the bedrock aquifer at Lackagh Tunnel and given that the construction will be restricted to above the water table during peak groundwater levels there will be no dewatering impact to groundwater flow rates that contribute to European sites
- Construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer may seasonally be required in EW27 during peak groundwater levels. Any dewatering will be discharged to the same GWB. As there is no net change in groundwater flow within the GWB there will be no dewatering impact to groundwater flow rates that contribute to European sites
- Construction of the Galway Racecourse Tunnel and its approaches will require dewatering of the bedrock aquifer. All groundwater intercepted will be managed and discharged within the same GWB so that there is no net change in the groundwater contribution to receiving waters. The construction of the Galway Racecourse Tunnel and its approaches will have no dewatering impact to groundwater flow rates that contribute to European sites

Construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer for the Project has been assessed and based on the ZoI the construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer required as part of the Project will have no impact on the groundwater flow within groundwater bodies that support European sites. Where concrete is to be used in construction excavations, such as for the Menlough Viaduct, Lackagh Tunnel and its western approach there is a risk that if karst is encountered that the pouring of concrete could lead to conduit pathways becoming blocked. There is also a risk that if karst is encountered in excavations that these could lead to point inputs of surface water runoff, which could increase the flow through existing conduits or reactivate conduits that had been naturally sealed by sediment. Measures to deal with karst intercepted in excavations is covered in Section 6 Mitigation.

5.2.2 Potential Pollution Impacts

This section presents the potential groundwater quality impacts from the construction phase of the Project to European sites. The assessment follows HD45/15 'Road Drainage and the water Environment', which provides guidance on assessing potential impacts. The assessment takes into account the potential pollutants, groundwater vulnerability and the aquifer classification.

As presented in HD45/15 regarding construction impacts, '*The prime concern is generally the mobilisation of suspended solids but spillage of fuels, lubricants, hydraulic fluids and cement may lead to incidents especially where there are inadequate mitigation measures.*' Based on the HD45/15 guidelines the potential pollutants that could impact on groundwater quality during the construction phase are listed below:

- Runoff across surfaces stripped of vegetation
- Spillages of fuels, lubricants, hydraulic fluids and cement (accidental or by vandalism)
- Pouring of concrete for structures
- Discharge from infiltration basins

The potential impacts from these potential pollutants are assessed below for both the Galway Granite Batholith and the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone.

5.2.2.1 Galway Granite Batholith

The Geological Survey of Ireland data classifies recharge for the Galway Granite Batholith at 100mm/yr. which equates to a very low recharge coefficient of less than 10%. Based on the GSI recharge quantification, the GSI classification of poor aquifer and well testing undertaken for this assessment (Refer to Table 5), then the hydraulic conductivity of the Galway Granite Batholith and the rate of infiltration through the overlying subsoils are very low.

GSI Groundwater vulnerability for the Galway Granite Batholith varies between extreme (X) and moderate (M). The ground investigation data collected for Project confirms the vulnerability range presented by the GSI. Whether extreme or moderate the limit of recharge equates to approximately 100mm/yr. which is limited by the aquifer properties of the granite rather than the vulnerability.

The GSI aquifer classification of the granite as a poorly productive (PI) indicates that there may be localised flow paths where faulting is present but based on the characteristics of the rock these flow paths will be limited in their extent. The GSI describe groundwater bodies in granite as typically having a maximum flow path of 100m, which is also supported from the ground investigation undertaken for the Project. On this basis the length of a pathway in any local productive zones of the Galway Granite Batholith is 100m.

Of the pollutants listed above suspended solids in site runoff is the prime concern, with pollution from spillages also being a risk. As the Galway Granite Batholith does not include karst and due to any pathways being limited to 100m in length the pouring of concrete at structures is not considered a potential pollutant. Furthermore, the Project does not include infiltration basins on the Galway Granite Batholith.

On the basis of the above hydrogeological characterisation there is a risk of suspended solids in site runoff and spillages potentially impacting on groundwater quality during construction. Based on the recharge cap of 100mm more than 90% of the runoff will remain as overland flow and not recharge to ground. Of the runoff that does recharge to ground, the restricted nature of the pathways and low flow rate will promote settlement rather than transport. On this basis high turbidity runoff across outcrop of the Galway Granite Batholith will be limited to the construction footprint with a maximum of 100m down gradient of the site if any local groundwater pathways are encountered. For spillages, accidental or by vandalism, the low infiltration rate will promote runoff rather than infiltration. Pollutants that do infiltrate to ground will have limited mobility and will be limited to the construction footprint with a 100m buffer. Mitigation measures are detailed in Appendix B Hydrology of the updated NIS for the clean-up and remediation of any spills. The zone of influence for construction phase pollution risk is presented for the Galway Granite Batholith in Figure 6.01.

As groundwater quality impacts are restricted to within 100m of the construction footprint there will be no groundwater quality impacts to European sites on the Galway Granite Batholith. Surface water impacts from runoff are covered in Appendix B Hydrology of the updated NIS.

5.2.2.2 Visean Undifferentiated Limestone

The GSI classify the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone as being a regionally important karst aquifer in the Galway region. However, the hydrogeology of the aquifer based on the desk study and ground investigation undertaken for the Project (Section 4.2) has identified that the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone in the section between the N83 Tuam Road and existing N6 does not include karst (refer to Section 4.2.6) and also has lower aquifer properties. This section of the Project comprises of the Clarinbridge GWB.

On the basis of the hydrogeological characterisation the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone has been divided into two aquifer types, a regionally important karst aquifer (Rkc) and a locally important aquifer productive in local zones (L). Flow in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone is typically by fracture flow, with conduit flow in the part of the aquifer where karst is present.

The groundwater bodies (GWB) that have karst present are Ross Lake GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB and Clare-Corrib GWB. Where karst occurs there is a risk that the natural dilution and attenuation in the aquifer may be bypassed by flow in a conduit. This is a concern if karst is encountered in excavations for the Project and is a concern for all of the potential pollution sources listed above.

Each of the potential pollutants are assessed below individually for the groundwater bodies that have the regionally important (Rkc) and locally important (LI) Visean Undifferentiated Limestone.

Site runoff

The Visean Undifferentiated Limestone has a high recharge coefficient when karst is present and in these areas site runoff generally recharges to ground rather than becoming overland flow. As such, those groundwater bodies that include karst and which the Project traverses, namely Ross Lake GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB and the Clare-Corrib GWB have high recharge rates and no surface water features. Surface water features such as Coolagh Lakes and Ballindooley Lough, which are located near these GWB have been demonstrated to lie on areas of buried valleys where there is a significant thickness of low permeability subsoil.

In the Clarinbridge GWB there are no recorded karst features but there is significant surface water ponding and overland flow during storm events, which is due to the lower recharge rates that are present in this area (refer to Section 4.2.6). The contrast between the Clarinbridge GWB and the other limestone GWB demonstrate the difference in recharge rates between karst and non karst limestone. Both limestones have fracture flow but the capacity of the pathways in karst limestone allow it to be free draining, which generally leads to a thicker unsaturated zone and deeper groundwater table.

The primary permeability of the limestone (both karst and non-karst) is characteristically low with the only significant infiltration occurring along the secondary permeability along fractures or zones of weathering. In the natural environment, recharge to limestone where there is no karst relies in part on storage in the overlying subsoil which provides superficial storage to the fracture flow network in the underlying limestone. In the situation where the subsoil is removed there is an increase in overland flow with recharge significantly reduced. As such, where the limestone bedrock is exposed in all GWB there will be an increase in runoff. Exceptions to this are zones of weathered limestone, karst (in those GWB with karst) or the fill of buried valleys where they are encountered. Weathered limestone will lead to an increase in diffuse recharge, karst will lead to dominant point recharge and areas of thick low permeability subsoil will lead to overland flow.

For the Ross Lake GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB and Clare-Corrib GWB the runoff from the construction site will be managed on site, collected and treated prior to disposal by infiltration basins. Details on the infiltration basins are presented in the Infiltration basins section below.

- If zones of thick subsoil are encountered within the footprint, then runoff will continue as overland flow. In these areas the groundwater will be protected from site runoff
- Diffuse recharge will occur within the construction footprint where thin subsoils or exposed bedrock occurs without karst. In these zones some runoff will infiltrate to ground by fracture flow or weathered limestone but the majority of the runoff will continue as overland flow. In these zones infiltration to ground will diffuse and provide slow pathways to the groundwater table that will naturally promote settlement of fines. In the groundwater there will be significant dilution and some attenuation of any fines. However, a low risk remains and mitigation measures are required to manage runoff on site, which is presented in Section 6
- If karst is encountered, then there is a risk of point recharge and there is a risk of high suspended solids impacting on groundwater. Mitigation is required, which is detailed in Section 6

In the Clarinbridge GWB the pathways comprise of fracture flow or weather limestone rather than conduit flow. In these zones the majority of the runoff will continue as overland flow. Infiltration to ground will diffuse and provide slow pathways to the groundwater table that will naturally promote settlement of fines. In the groundwater there will be significant dilution and some attenuation of any fines. However, a low risk remains and mitigation measures are required to manage runoff on site, which is presented in Section 6 Mitigation.

Earthworks along the Project include areas where the subsoil is removed leaving a reduced thickness of subsoil or exposing the underlying limestone. The removal of subsoil will reduce the natural protection for groundwater and increases the groundwater vulnerability (Table 4).

The vulnerability rating for the existing environment along the Project ranges from extreme to low. With extreme (X) occurring in areas where limestone bedrock is exposed and low in areas of buried valleys where the thicknesses of low permeability clayey subsoil is greater than 10m thick. For assessing the ZoI it is assumed that bedrock will be encountered with the extents of the Project where it traverses the Viséan Undifferentiated Limestone. In this regard the ZoI for pollution to groundwater extends over the full extent of the construction area.

For the Ross Lake GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB and the Clare-Corrib GWB, where there is a potential for karst and point input of site runoff, the area at risk of pollution is extended over the full extent of the GWB downgradient of the Project.

The southern part of Clarinbridge GWB includes a karst swallow hole (K328) which receives surface water from Galway Racecourse, this feature allows surface water to directly enter the aquifer, via karst conduit pathways and is part of the karst drainage of the aquifer that includes stream sinks of the Terryland River. Such features mean that karst groundwater is vulnerable to contamination from pollution incidents downgradient of the Project. Figure 7.02 shows the limestone aquifer downgradient of the Project at risk from groundwater contamination from accidental pollution. Note that Figure 7.02 has been updated to include the area of the deep aquifer downgradient of swallow hole K328.

For the Clarinbridge GWB where karst is not present, the area at risk of pollution encompasses the full extent of the construction footprint with a further 100m buffer applied.

The area at risk for pollution is presented in Figure 6.01 and Figure 6.02. The ZoI for those GWB, that have the potential for karst, has the potential to impact on groundwater quality contributing to European sites and for these GWBs mitigation of site runoff is required, which is detailed in Section 6. For the Clarinbridge GWB there is no significant karst and as such the hydraulic connectivity of the aquifer is limited and there will be no quality impact to the groundwater contribution of European sites.

Spillages of fuels, lubricants, hydraulic fluids and cement (accidental or by vandalism)

Spillages within the construction footprint pose a threat to groundwater quality. The mechanism for migration of the spillage from source is the same as the characteristics described in the site runoff section above. On this basis the potential area of pollution from spillages is the same as for site runoff and mitigation is required to manage spillages on site, which is presented in Section 6.

Pouring of concrete for structures

There is a risk specific to karst areas that if concrete is poured, for example with the foundations of bridge piers or lining of a tunnel, that concrete could be lost to ground and karst pathways blocked. Of the GWBs with karst there are three structures that have a risk to groundwater quality, namely the River Corrib Bridge, Menlough Viaduct and Lackagh Tunnel. This risk requires mitigation in order to prevent potential water quality impacts to groundwater that contributes to European sites. Mitigation is presented in Section 6.

Infiltration Basins

In the area of the Viséan Undifferentiated Limestone there are few surface water features present. In the absence of surface water or storm water sewers the Project incorporates infiltration basins during construction and operation phases for the discharge of treated runoff. Infiltration basins will be part of the initial works for the Project to ensure that they are available for use at the earliest stage of construction.

The treatment of site runoff during construction includes a settlement pond prior to discharge via infiltration basin. The settlement ponds will be used for containment if an accidental fuel spillage occurs so that the polluted water can be contained and tankered off site for appropriate disposal.

As a design measure all infiltration basins have a minimum thickness of 2m of appropriate material overlying the excavated bedrock. Appropriate material is defined as per TII HD45/15 and will have a hydraulic conductivity of $1 \times 10^{-5} \text{m/s}$. As per TII HD45/15 groundwater protection response, 2m of appropriate material has an extreme (E) vulnerability.

Reference is made to the TII HD45/15 groundwater protection matrix (Table 3). Based on the design of the infiltration basin the groundwater response is R3(2) as no karst landforms are located within 30m of the infiltration basin locations. In the case of karst being encountered in the excavation then without mitigation

the groundwater response will be R4. Procedures are detailed in the section on mitigation measures in the case of karst features encountered in excavations (Section 6).

As there is potential for karst to be encountered in the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB and Clare-Corrib GWB, then the pre-mitigation potential area where impact on groundwater quality could occur is defined as being the full extent of the groundwater body downgradient of the Project. Note there are no infiltration basins in either Ross Lake GWB or GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB (refer to Figure 6.01 and Figure 6.02).

The Clarinbridge GWB does not have significant karst and as such the risk of then the area for water quality from infiltration basins with pre-treatment is assessed as being the unsaturated zone of the aquifer below the infiltration basin.

5.2.2.3 *Summary of Potential Pollution Impacts*

In summary, the zone of influence for pollution from the construction phase of the Project has been assessed for the Galway Granite Batholith and Visean Undifferentiated Limestone:

- For the Galway Granite Batholith, the risk of contaminants polluting groundwater is restricted to untreated site runoff within the construction footprint of the Project, with an additional buffer of 100m applied to accommodate local fracture flow where it occurs. There will be no water quality impacts to groundwater that contribute to European sites from the Galway Granite Batholith. Assessment of runoff impacts to surface water are presented in Appendix B Hydrology of the updated NIS
- For the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone without karst, which comprises of the extent of the Clarinbridge GWB, the risk of pollution comes from untreated site runoff and spillages within the construction footprint. An additional buffer of 100m applied to accommodate any localised fractures that could include fracture flow paths. There will be no water quality impacts to groundwater that contribute to European sites from the Clarinbridge GWB. Runoff and spillage mitigation is presented in Section 6
- For the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone with karst, which encompasses the Ross Lake GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB, GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB and Clare-Corrib GWB the risk of contamination comes from untreated site runoff and spillages in the construction footprint of the Project. The ZoI identified presents a risk to groundwater quality that contributes to European sites and mitigation is required, which is presented in Section 6
- For the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone with karst there is a risk that where concrete is to be poured, such as River Corrib Bridge, Lackagh Tunnel and Menlough Viaduct that concrete grout may enter the aquifer and have a water quality impact on groundwater that contributes to European sites. Mitigation is required and is presented in Section 6
- For the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone with karst there is a risk that if karst is encountered in the infiltration basins then the natural dilution and attenuation relied on for treatment of runoff will be bypassed with potential water quality impacts to groundwater that contributes to European sites. Mitigation of this potential impact is presented in Section 6

In conclusion, where the Project traverses groundwater bodies with karst there is the potential for groundwater to be impacted from high turbidity runoff and accidental fuel spillages, if they are not mitigated against. Furthermore, in the GWBs with karst the construction of infiltration basins, foundations for bridge piers and all excavations in general require mitigation measures, which are presented in Section 6.

5.2.3 *Placement of non-native construction materials*

If limestone derived material is placed over granite bedrock, surface water run-off or groundwater movements through the material have the potential to impact local areas of peatland habitats by changing the pH of the groundwater. Therefore, there is a potential hydrogeological risk if limestone was placed on granite which has a pathway to a European site. Granite can be considered inert over the lifespan of the Project and as such there is no risk from placement of granite on limestone.

5.3 Operation Phase

There are a number of operation phase activities or features of the Project that can interact with those European sites, listed in Table 1. As with construction activities, the main impacts to groundwater that contributes to a European site from operation activities arise from the potential to impact on flow paths and water quality. Operation activities which can alter the groundwater regime are:

- Changes to flow paths from operational dewatering of the bedrock aquifer and intersection of flow paths by excavations
- Discharge of treated road runoff to ground
- Placement of non-native construction materials

The operational ZoI for dewatering of the bedrock aquifer impacts and pollution impacts are presented in Figure 7.01 and Figure 7.02.

5.3.1 Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow

Impacts to groundwater flow from the operation of the Project are primarily from operational dewatering impacts, however, impacts may also occur if flow pathways are intercepted by the Project. The aspect of flow pathways is specific to the karst limestone where conduits may occur and is largely a construction issue, which is when these features may be encountered. As measures to ensure that these pathways are not impacted during the construction phase there are no potential impacts due to karst during the operation of the Project (refer to Section 5.1.1).

The ZoI is presented below for all excavations. As described in Section 5.1.1 the ZoI for excavations is calculated as a radius (radius of influence) that extends from the excavation. For cuttings and tunnels the radius extends out from the foot of the cutting face or drain that receives groundwater. The radii are calculated based on aquifer properties derived from site (described in Section 5) using the most conservative values measured. The ZoI in excavations during operation is presented in Table 7 below.

Table 7 Summary of radius of influence at earthwork (EW) locations from operation dewatering of the bedrock aquifer (pre-mitigation)

Feature type	Ref no.	Chainage	Max depth of finished road level (m)	Length	Max drawdown depth (m)	Maximum Zone of influence Radius (m)
Galway Granite Batholith– R336 to N59 Moycullen Road						
Road Cutting	EW01	0+000 –0+500	1.3	500	3.1	29
Road Cutting	EW02	1+150 – 1+350	0.9	200	2	20
Road Cutting	EW02	1+600 – 1+950	1.0	350	3	24
Road Cutting	EW02	2+230 – 2+640	3.1	410	2	27
Road cutting	EW04	3+100 – 4+080	5.9	980	8	50
Road cutting	EW07	5+250 – 5+580	5.3	330		38
Road Cutting	EW11	7+600 – 8+280	14.4	690	12	69
Viscane Limestone Undifferentiated - N59 Moycullen Road to existing N6 Coolagh, Briarhill						
Structure (side road)	Ard Na Locha	0+000 – 0+168	0.1	168	0	0
Structure (side road)	N59	0+000 – 0+393	0.0	393	0	0

Feature type	Ref no.	Chainage	Max depth of finished road level (m)	Length	Max drawdown depth (m)	Maximum Zone of influence Radius (m)
Structure (side road)	Aughnacurra	0+000 – 0+117	0.0	117	0	0
River Corrib Structure	EW15	9+300 – 9+500	(Above ground)	200	0	0
Menlough Viaduct	EW17	9+500 – 10+100	(Above ground)	600	0	0
Western Approach to Lackagh Tunnel	EW19	10+810 – 11+140	9.8	350	0	0
Lackagh Tunnel	EW20	11+140 – 11+420	19.6	270	0	0
Road Cutting	EW22	11+720 – 11+920	12.3	200	0	0
Road cutting	EW25	12+550 – 13+050	5.2	370	0	0
Road cutting	EW27	13+050 – 13+650	8.5	600	3	110
Road Cutting	EW29	14+150 – 14+450	12.9	300	0	0
Western Approach to Galway Racecourse Tunnel approach	EW30	14+450 – 14+950	11.2	500	0	0
Galway Racecourse Tunnel	EW31	14+950 – 15+190	9.2	240	0	0
Eastern Approach to Galway Racecourse Tunnel approach	EW32	15+190 – 15+500	8.7	310	0	0
Road Cutting	EW34-35	16+160 – 16+900	6.8	740	1	23

Notes:

Structure depths are presented to 10cm. Predicted drawdown and radius of extent presented to 1m.

The maximum depth of proposed road level refers to the finished road level. Structures will require excavation to a maximum depth of 3m below road level for installation of drainage and dewatering sumps/trenches

5.3.1.1 Galway Granite Batholith

Based on the results presented above in Table 9 there are seven cuttings in the Galway Granite Batholith which have the potential to intersect the groundwater table locally. These road cuttings are EW01, EW02 (three cuttings), EW04, EW07 and EW11. EW11 has the largest ZoI with drawdown extending up to 54m laterally from the footprint of the Project.

Using the reported aquifer properties, the operation ZoI within the Galway Granite Aquifer will yield relatively small volumes of groundwater, which will be managed on site and discharged to those nearby watercourses that the groundwater would contribute too. On this basis groundwater will be managed on site so that the fall of groundwater trenches keep groundwater within the respective groundwater bodies. Due to the low quantities of groundwater that would be intersected, and by maintaining management within known groundwater bodies, there will be no change in the contributing groundwater to a European sites from groundwater bodies in the Galway Granite Batholith traversed by Project.

5.3.1.2 Visean Undifferentiated Limestone

During the operation phase of the Project, there are thirteen sections in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone that remain in an open cutting (see Table 7). This is two less than during construction as the River Corrib Bridge and Menlough Viaduct do not have excavations during operation. Seven of these cuttings have the potential to intersect the water table locally during operation. These include three road cuttings (EW27, EW34 and EW35), three tunnel approaches (EW19, EW30 and EW32) and two tunnels (EW20 Lackagh Tunnel and EW31 Galway Racecourse Tunnel). Both the Lackagh Tunnel and its western approach and the Galway Racecourse with its eastern approach will be sealed as part of the road design.

The hydrogeology appraisal of Lackagh Tunnel (and its approaches) is described in further detail in Appendix F of the updated NIS. The sealing of Lackagh Tunnel and the cutting on its western approach will prevent operation impacts to groundwater flow paths. Any karst intersected during construction will be managed so as not to impact on groundwater flows during operation (refer to Section 5.1.1).

The properties of the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone, in the Ross lake GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB and Clare-Corrib GWBs, are presented as larger ZoI than are calculated for the Galway Granite Batholith or the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone in the Clarinbridge GWB. Based on the water level data collected for the Project and the sealed design of the tunnels and their approaches the only excavation that have the potential to require operational dewatering of the bedrock aquifer is EW27, which lies in the Clare-Corrib GWB and EW34 and EW35, which lies within the Clarinbridge GWB.

EW27 lies immediately west of the N83 Tuam Road and is a cutting where the finished road level lies 10m above the summer groundwater level and 0.5m above the peak recorded groundwater level. As part of the design permanent drains will be installed during construction to control the groundwater level 2m below the finished road level. All groundwater intercepted by drains during the peak groundwater rise will be carried eastwards by 150mm unlined perforated pipes either side of the Project with discharge along the pipe length and overflow to an infiltration basin. Groundwater levels will be managed below the cutting and all intercepted groundwater will be discharged back into the groundwater body further east where groundwater levels are <5m below the groundwater levels at all times.

Within the Clarinbridge GWB the aquifer properties are relatively low and on this basis the volumes of groundwater to be generated during excavations are relatively small. Any groundwater lowering required will be kept within the same GWB so that groundwater volumes are maintained. On this basis there will be no impact from lowering of groundwater within the Clarinbridge GWB to the groundwater supporting a European sites.

5.3.1.3 Summary of Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow

In summary, excavations from cuttings, structures and tunnels have the potential to intersect groundwater in seven excavations in the Galway Granite Batholith and seven excavations to the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone. The sealed design of the tunnels and their approaches, where required, will ensure that groundwater bodies that support a European site will not be impacted. EW27 includes groundwater interception drains that will activate during peak groundwater levels and carry groundwater within the same GWB to where levels are lower. Therefore, there will be no impacts from operational dewatering of the bedrock aquifer that could impact on the groundwater bodies that support a European site during the operation phase.

5.3.2 Potential Pollution Impacts

5.3.2.1 Galway Granite Batholith

GSI Groundwater vulnerability for the Galway Granite Batholith varies between extreme (X) and high (H). The ground investigation data collected for the Project confirms the vulnerability range presented by the GSI. Whether extreme or moderate the limit of recharge equates to approximately 100mm/yr. which is limited by the aquifer properties of the granite rather than the vulnerability.

The GSI aquifer classification of the granite as poorly productive (PI) indicates that there may be localised flow paths where faulting is present but based on the characteristics of the rock these flow paths will be limited in their extent. The GSI describe groundwater bodies in granite as typically having a maximum flow path of 100m, which is also supported from the ground investigation undertaken for the Project. On this basis the length of a pathway in any local productive zones of the Galway Granite Batholith is 100m.

The drainage design in the area of the Galway Granite Batholith is rated using TII HD45/15 guidelines. The HD45/15 responses vary between R1 and R3(1) with the R3(1) responses occurring in areas of cutting, which are located on topographic highs. The drainage design is based on the use of permeable drains.

Due to the low hydraulic conductivity of the granite bedrock the potential impact to groundwater quality is local to the Project. The drainage system collects runoff and directs it towards surface water for discharge. A hydrocarbon interceptor and settlement pond treat the runoff before it is discharged to surface water.

There will be no change in the quality of groundwater contributing to a European sites from groundwater bodies in the Galway Granite Batholith.

5.3.2.2 Visean Undifferentiated Limestone

In the area of the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone there are few surface water features present. Where there is no option for discharge via surface water or storm water sewers the drainage for the Project will discharge to ground. This section presents a hydrogeological assessment for the drainage design which follows TII HD45/15 guidelines. The drainage networks that will discharge to ground are presented in Table 8, which provides the footprint areas that will generate the runoff discharging to ground.

The runoff volumes are calculated on an annual basis using an annual average rainfall of 1,250mm/yr. All road drainage in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone is sealed up to the point of discharge of the runoff in an infiltration basin, which follows treatment by hydrocarbon interceptor and wetland. There is also a containment area in each drainage network that can manually be activated to contain spillage on the carriageway.

Table 8 Drainage networks with infiltration basins, showing the footprint area for each network and the road runoff

Drainage Network	Footprint area m ²	Road runoff av. m ³ /yr.
S19A	19,490	24,363
S19B	22,221	27,776
S21A	33,070	41,338
S21B	82,830	103,538
S22A	56,810	71,013
S22B	30,637	38,297
S22C2	5,459	6,824
S22E	7,913	9,892
S27	54,734	68,418
S40	1,600	2,000

TII provides data on the event mean concentrations (EMC) of significant contaminants in HD45/15 and has developed a groundwater protection response (GPR) that provides an assessment on the suitability of road runoff discharging to groundwater. The potential contaminant and their EMC are reported in HD45/15 and reproduced below in Table 9. The EMC data presented in TII HD45/15 is indicative of runoff water pre-treatment.

Table 9 Significant pollutants and their EMC

Determinand	Routine runoff Mean EMC µg/l
Total Copper	91.22
Dissolved Copper	31.31
Total Zinc	352.63
Dissolved Zinc	111.09
Total Cadmium	0.63
Total Fluoranthene	1.02
Total Pyrene	1.03
Total PAHs	7.52

All drainage of untreated carriageway runoff across the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone is sealed and meets TII HD45/15 guidelines. Where discharge from drainage networks cannot be accommodated to surface water or sewer, then infiltration basins are used (Table 12).

The infiltration basin design uses a 2m over excavation from the invert to place a 2m thick subsoil that will meet the TII definition of being an appropriate material (TII HD45/15). All of the infiltration basins are more than 15m distant from surface karst mapped during the survey stage of the project (refer to Figure 1.01 and Figure 1.02). Additionally, all infiltration basins are designed to include the following features as standard design

- A containment area
- A hydrocarbon interceptor
- A wetland

Groundwater levels have been monitored along the alignment between April 2015 and January 2017 in order to determine the seasonal variation in the groundwater level. On the basis of these measurements the minimum thicknesses of the saturated zone have been calculated for the infiltration basins.

The groundwater level data shows significant seasonal variation locally and whilst all infiltration basins meet the requirement of 2m unsaturated zone during the groundwater low, infiltration basins S19a, S19b, S20 and S40 have less than 2m unsaturated zone during winter peaks. On this basis, infiltration basins at networks S21A, S21B, S22A, S22B, S22C2 and S22E meet and exceed the TII HD45/15 GPR criteria for R2(3) due to the pre-treatment.

Networks S19a, S19b, S20 and S40, however, do not meet the R2(3) criteria during the winter period when groundwater levels are elevated and there is less than 2m unsaturated zone. However, as the standard design for infiltration basins for the Project includes containment, a hydrocarbon interceptor and a wetland, then each infiltration basin includes significant pre-treatment of runoff prior to infiltration. As the pre-treatment aspect exceeds the requirements of HD45/15 for all infiltration basins then the treated run-off at infiltration basins S19a, S19b, S20 and S40 is of a higher quality than that listed in Table 10.

Networks S19a, S19b and S20 are located on the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, which supports groundwater dependant terrestrial ecosystems (GWDTE) at Coolagh lakes. Due to the sensitivity of the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB those drainage networks that drain the carriageway above the GWB, which include S19a and S19b, also have a liner installed to ensure that the treated run-off percolates through the full thickness of the subsoil.

On the basis of the pre-treatment all infiltration basins will discharge a higher quality of treated runoff than listed in Table 9 and are not considered to pose a risk to the quality of groundwater bodies that European sites rely on.

Table 10: Table summarising the groundwater protection scheme used to assess discharge by infiltration basins

Network Ref	S19A	S19B	S20	S21A	S21B	S22A	S22B	S22C2	S22E	S40
Minimum unsaturated zone (m)	1.4	0.3	0	2.6	9.1	2.0	3.9	15.2	9.9	1.0

For locally important limestone aquifers (L1 or Lm) discharging to ground is not permitted unless there is a minimum thickness of 2m of appropriate subsoil and attention is paid to karst features and receptors. If the above criteria are met then the GPR will have a R2(2) response which is acceptable for discharge to ground as long as karst features are not identified, in that case the R2(3) criteria apply (refer to Table 3).

The design for discharge for the Project, where there is no surface water to dispose runoff to, is by bespoke infiltration basins with pre-treatment. This design will be used for infiltration basins on both regionally important and locally important aquifers.

The treatment pre-disposal comprises of a hydrocarbon interceptor, wetland and 2m appropriate subsoil in the infiltration basin. Furthermore, a network specific containment area is included upgradient of the hydrocarbon interceptor that will be closed in the event of a spill and is designed contain the spillage. On discharge of the treated water to ground there will be further dilution and attenuation in the aquifer.

The presence of karst has been accommodated in the placement of the infiltration basins and no known active surface karst is present within 30m of any infiltration basin. However, there is a potential for karst to be encountered during the construction of infiltration basins and this is dealt with in the evaluation of construction impacts in Section 5.1.2.

The potential impact of karst is recognised as a significant aspect of the infiltration basins and is included in the mitigation measures of Section 6, which includes inspections to ensure that the infiltration basins remain in good working order for the operational life of the Project.

The ZoI for the risk of groundwater pollution has been delineated for each infiltration basin pre-mitigation and is presented in Figure 7.02. As there is potential for karst to be encountered during construction of infiltration basins in the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and Clare-Corrib GWB, then the ZoI may impact on groundwater quality if karst is encountered. As karst is dealt with by mitigation, in the pre-mitigation scenario a water quality impact could occur downgradient of an infiltration basin in a karst aquifer if a karst pathway is encountered in the excavation, with the potential ZoI being the full extent of the groundwater pathway and body downgradient of the Project. This is on the basis that the treated runoff could travel via karst conduits through the aquifer to a receptor without dilution and attenuation. Those GWB with karst that are traversed by the Project have the potential to impact on European sites. This includes infiltration basins S19a, S19b, S21a, S21b, S22a and S22b. Based on the hydrogeological assessment, mitigation is required to provide assurance that discharge from the infiltration basins will not point input to karst.

As the Clarinbridge GWB does not have karst then the ZoI for water quality from infiltration basins with pre-treatment is assessed as being the unsaturated zone of the aquifer below the infiltration basin. This is on the basis that dilution and attenuation will occur in the saturated zone. Infiltration basins S22c2, S22e, S27 and S40 are located on the Clarinbridge GWB.

In summary, the operation phase of the Project has the potential to impact on European sites if karst is encountered in the infiltration basins.

5.3.2.3 *Summary of Potential Pollution Impacts*

There will be no change in the quality of groundwater contributing to a European site from groundwater bodies in the Galway Granite Batholith. However, there is a potential to impact on the groundwater contributing to a European site if karst is encountered in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone.

5.4 **Summary**

The design of the Project is cognisant of the hydrogeological existing environment. Below is a summary of the hydrogeological measures to manage the potential risk to groundwater that contributes to European sites:

- Dewatering of the bedrock aquifer (construction and operation) will be required within cuttings in the Galway Granite Batholith. Any intercepted groundwater will be diverted to its natural receiving water
- Dewatering (construction or operation) of the bedrock aquifer will not be undertaken in the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB or in the adjacent Clare-Corrib GWB within 500m of the GWB divide. This area of the Project includes Menlough Viaduct and Lackagh Tunnel (including its approaches)
- Dewatering (construction and operation) of the bedrock aquifer will be required in cutting EW27 during the seasonal groundwater peak. Any intercepted groundwater will remain within the GWB but diverted to where it will infiltrate back to ground in an infiltration basin
- Dewatering (construction only) of the bedrock aquifer will be required at the Galway Racecourse Tunnel (and its approaches). Any intercepted groundwater will remain within the GWB but diverted back to ground in an infiltration basin
- Infiltration from construction runoff on the Galway Granite Batholith will be small (<10%) and have no impact on groundwater quality. Runoff from the Galway Granite Batholith is discharged to surface water, which is documented in Appendix B Hydrology of the updated NIS
- Infiltration from construction runoff on the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone will occur both on the construction footprint and from infiltration basins. There is the potential for impact to groundwater quality if karst is encountered. Hydrogeological mitigation measures are required to control runoff and ensure that infiltration both on the construction footprint and within infiltration basins is prevented from point inputs to karst
- Accidental spills have the potential to impact on groundwater and are dealt with in mitigation
- The drainage design in the Galway Granite Batholith is not sealed. Discharge of treated runoff will be to surface water. There will be small (<10%) losses of runoff (treated and untreated) to ground and these have no impact on groundwater quality beyond the footprint of the Project
- The Drainage design of the Project in the Undifferentiated Visean Limestone has sealed drainage and uses infiltration basins (operational phases) to discharge of treated runoff

The design assessment has identified a risk specific to areas karst in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone. There is potential for karst in Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB, GWDTE Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB and the Clare-Corrib GWB. If karst was encountered in these groundwater bodies then there is a risk that runoff and accidental spills could impact on groundwater quality and in turn impact on a European site. A summary of the European sites (construction and operation combined) is shown in Table 11. These potential impacts require mitigation and are discussed further in Section 6.

The ZoI pre-mitigation is shown in Figures 6.1 and 6.2 for construction and Figures 7.1 and 7.2 for operation.

Table 11 Summary of European sites at risk from groundwater impact from the design of the Project (pre-mitigation)

Groundwater body	Lough Corrib SAC	Lough Corrib SPA	Galway Bay Complex SAC	Inner Galway Bay SPA
Spiddal GWB	Not at risk	Not at risk	Not at risk	Not at risk
Maam Clonbur GWB	Not at risk	Not at risk	Not at risk	Not at risk
Ross Lake GWB	At risk from runoff and spillage	At risk from runoff and spillage	Not at risk	Not at risk
Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB and Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh) GWB	At risk from runoff and spillage	Not at risk	At risk from runoff and spillage	At risk from runoff and spillage
Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB	At risk from runoff and spillage	At risk from runoff and spillage	At risk from runoff and spillage	At risk from runoff and spillage
Clare-Corrib GWB	At risk from runoff and spillage (during high groundwater conditions only)	Not at risk	At risk from runoff and spillage	At risk from runoff and spillage
Clarinbridge GWB	At risk from runoff and spillage (during high groundwater conditions only)	Not at risk	At risk from runoff and spillage	At risk from runoff and spillage

6. Mitigation

6.1 Introduction

This section describes mitigation measures proposed to mitigate potential impacts outlined in Section 5 of this report.

Specific construction mitigation measures are required to mitigate the potential construction impacts outlined in Section 5 of this report and these mitigation measures are outlined below.

6.2 Mitigation - Construction Phase

The measures listed below will be adopted during the construction phase of the Project.

6.2.1 Potential Impacts to Groundwater Flow

The design of the Project includes dewatering of the bedrock aquifer in cuttings in the Galway Granite Batholith and cuttings in the Viséan Undifferentiated Limestone. The drawdown from these cuttings has been assessed in Section 5 and there are no impacts on European sites. No mitigation is therefore required with regard to the design of construction dewatering of the bedrock aquifer.

With regard to karst features being intercepted in excavations for earthworks (including viaducts, bridges and tunnels) and infiltration basins, mitigation measure has been developed to preserve the hydraulic connectivity of the feature but then seal it from the excavation. The mitigation measure is detailed in the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) (Appendix C of the updated NIS) and will ensure that there is no impact on groundwater flow paths that GWDTE within a European site are dependent on.

Those infiltration basins in the Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB (S19a and S19b) shall have additional measures incorporated into their construction to provide further protection to the groundwater body. Infiltration basin S19a and S19b include lining the sides of the excavation to ensure vertical groundwater infiltration so that all discharges drain through the placed appropriate subsoil for the full thickness of the unsaturated zone.

6.2.2 Pollution prevention

Mitigation is divided into those standard measures that apply to both the Galway Granite Batholith and the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone and those measures that are specifically designed for karst in the Visean Undifferentiated Limestone.

6.2.2.1 Standard Mitigation Measures

- Mitigation of potential construction impacts will be achieved through the stringent implementation of good construction practice procedures and environmental controls so as to minimise the opportunity for contaminated releases of construction runoff as set out in the CEMP (Appendix C of the updated NIS). Such practices will include adequate bunding for oil containers, wheel washers and dust suppression on site roads, and regular plant maintenance
- The following measures included in the CEMP will be implemented to control the potential for pollution from accidental spillages on site:
 - Stockpiling of contaminated material is not permitted
 - Good housekeeping (daily site clean-ups, use of disposal bins, etc.) on the site during construction, and the proper use, storage and disposal of these substances and their containers will prevent groundwater contamination
 - For all activities involving the use of potential pollutants or hazardous materials, under the CEMP, the contractor will be required to ensure that material such as concrete, fuels, lubricants and hydraulic fluids will be carefully handled and stored to avoid spillages. Potential pollutants shall also be adequately secured against vandalism and will be provided with proper containment according to codes of practice. Any spillages will be immediately contained and contaminated soil removed from the site and properly disposed of
 - The contractor will be required to implement a contingency plan for pollution emergencies which will be developed by the appointed contractor prior to work commencing and regularly updated, which will identify the actions to be taken in the event of a pollution incident. As recommended in the CIRIA document, the contingency plan for pollution emergencies will include the following:
 - Containment measures
 - Emergency discharge routes
 - List of appropriate equipment and clean-up materials
 - Maintenance schedule for equipment
 - Details of trained staff, location and provision for 24-hour cover
 - Details of staff responsibilities
 - Notification procedures to inform the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) or environmental department of the Galway County Council
 - Audit and review schedule
 - Telephone numbers of statutory water consultees
 - List of specialist pollution clean-up companies and their telephone numbers

- No direct untreated point discharge of construction runoff to groundwater will be permitted
- Where a pollution incident is detected, construction works will be stopped until the source of the construction pollution has been identified and remedied
- Pollution control facilities and procedures set out in the Sediment, Erosion and Pollution Control Construction Management Plan included in the CEMP will be implemented if required
- The pollution control and treatment facilities will be installed and the monitoring network including instrumentation and procedures established prior to construction activities taking place on the ground in the vicinity of watercourses and sensitive surface and groundwater receptors. It is envisaged that the pollution control facilities will be monitored daily to ensure their continued integrity and desired function

6.2.2.2 *Karst Specific Mitigation Measures*

In the event of karst being encountered the Karst Protocol shall be implemented. Karst specific mitigation measures are detailed in the Karst Protocol, which is documented in the CEMP (Appendix C of the updated NIS). Application of the Karst Protocol are summarised below to detail where they will be implemented:

- Where karst features are encountered during construction works these will be assessed by a qualified hydrogeologist. These features will require their extent across the Project to be delineated. In the case of excavations (road cuttings, tunnels, bridge pier excavations) then the karst feature shall be excavated and backfilled with coarse fill and sealed. This will prevent runoff draining into the feature and therefore protect against accidental spillage. On this basis, construction runoff will not discharge to a karst pathway and will receive natural attenuation and dilution in the aquifer
- With regard to karst features being intercepted in excavations for earthworks (including viaducts, bridges and tunnels) and infiltration basins. The Karst Protocol preserves the hydraulic connectivity of the feature using granular material to fill but then seals the karst from the excavation using a liner (geotextile and or concrete depending on the site specifics) that will prevent linkage between excavation and the karst
- Given the proximity of the Project to the Coolagh Lakes, which are groundwater dependant via karst springs, construction impacts represent a potential source of impact on the water quality of the lake from uncontrolled construction site runoff and potential contamination of the groundwater from construction spillages. There will be no surface water discharges to the Coolagh lakes and all runoff will be treated before being discharged to ground at infiltration basins. Infiltration basins are designed to include settlement to remove sediment and have 2m of subsoil below invert level. All infiltration basins include containment to protect against spillages. Infiltration basins require regular inspection to confirm that no observable subsidence in the infiltration has occurred due to karst. There are no guidelines on the inspection frequency for infiltration basins, however, based on the mitigation measures implemented the risk of subsidence occurring is considered to be low and inspection is recommended on 5-year frequency
- Pouring of the concrete in excavations (River Corrib Bridge, Menlough Viaduct and Lackagh Tunnel) will only be undertaken when the excavation has been inspected by a qualified hydrogeologist. Inspection of the full depth and extent of each excavation will be undertaken to identify if any significant flow paths, such as the karst enhancement of the bedrock permeability, are present. If no significant flow paths are present, then the hydrogeologist will document accordingly and confirm that there is no risk to groundwater from concrete leakage. If significant pathways are present then impacts which may arise from flow along these pathways shall be designed by the hydrogeologist based on the karst mitigation plan, these may comprise of installing a high permeability zone to replace the groundwater pathways which would be removed by the foundations and/or sealing the linkage from excavation to protect the karst. The design of the mitigation measures shall be approved by a qualified hydrogeologist to confirm that there will be no negative impacts to groundwater

These above measures will ensure that the risk of pollution of groundwater bodies is controlled and that there will be no impact to European sites.

6.2.3 Placement of non-native construction materials

A construction earthworks programme will be finalised by the contractor as part of the CEMP for the Project which categorises the source of material for each fill section. During the finalisation of this programme, the following fill limitations will be incorporated, to prevent impact due to the placement of non-native construction materials:

- The use of fill material is limited where sites have a pathway to a European site
- Only pavement and capping layers protected from surface water runoff and groundwater movements are permitted to be derived from non-native material at sensitive locations
- All other acceptable fill material will be derived from native material or other pH compatible material

6.3 Mitigation - Operation Phase

As per the design, inspection and maintenance will occur during the operation phase to ensure that the appropriate thickness of subsoil remains across the basin surface area. If karst features and potential pathways are found to be present during inspection and maintenance in the infiltration basin, then the Karst Protocol will be implemented to ensure that there are no preferential pathways within the infiltration basin.

7. Conclusion

The potential hydrogeological impacts to European sites from the Project have been identified and assessed, which include specific hydrogeological impacts for the Lackagh Tunnel including its western and eastern approaches (Appendix F of the updated NIS). The hydrogeological zone of influence is presented in Figure set 6 and 7.

Potential impacts to groundwater quality and quantity have been assessed. These include:

- Construction impacts from excavation dewatering of the bedrock aquifer
- Construction impacts from runoff infiltration
- Construction impacts from accidental spillage
- Operational impacts from dewatering of the bedrock aquifer
- Operational impacts from sealing of structures
- Operational impacts from discharge of treated road runoff to ground by infiltration basins
- Operational impacts from placement of non-native material

Based on this assessment, with the implementation of design requirements proposed and a range of mitigation measures during both construction and operation, it can be concluded that the Project will not modify the hydrogeological regime in the location of any European sites.

8. References

- An Bord Pleanála. (2016) Inspectors report QD07.QD0021 Twomileditch Quarry Planning QD07.QD0021 (pleanala.ie)
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2023) *EPA Water Abstraction Register - December 2023*
- Environmental Protection Agency. (2011) *EPA Drinking Water Advice Note, Advice Note No. 7: Source Protection and Catchment Management to protect Groundwater Supplies*
- GSI. (2023) *Bedrock Geology 1:100,000, Bedrock Boreholes, Karst Features, Groundwater Aquifers, National Draft Generalised Bedrock map (Groundwater Rock units), National Vulnerability and National Groundwater Recharge maps*. available; www.dcenr.gov.ie
- National Monuments Service. (2023) *National Monuments Service Historic Environment*. Available <https://www.archaeology.ie/archaeological-survey-ireland/historic-environment-viewer-application>
- NPWS. (2000) *NPWS Protected Sites in Ireland*. Available <https://www.npws.ie/protected-sites>
- Central Statistics Office. Statistical Release 20th November 2023. Domestic Metered Public Water Consumption. Key Findings Domestic Metered Public Water Consumption 2022 - Central Statistics Office
- Department of the Environment and Local Government. (DELG), the Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA) and the Geological Survey of Ireland. (GSI). (1999) *Protection Schemes Guidelines*, available; <http://www.gsi.ie/Programmes/Groundwater/Projects/Protection+Schemes+Guidelines.htm#summary>
- Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA) (2002) *Guidelines on Information to be contained in Environmental Impact Statements*.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA) (2003) *Advice Notes on Current Practice in the Preparation of Environmental Impact Statements*.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA) (11 September 2013) *Advice Note No.14. Borehole Construction and Wellhead Protection*.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA) (2015) *Draft Revised Guidelines on Information to be contained in Environmental Impact Statements*.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (EPA) (2015) *Draft Advice Notes for Preparing Environmental Impact Statements*.
- Transport Infrastructure Ireland. (2015) *Design Manual for Roads and Bridges*.
- Environmental Protection Agency. (Draft August 2017) *Guidelines on the Information to be contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Reports*.
- OSI. (2015) Current and historical maps available.
- GSI. (2004a) Spiddal Groundwater Body: *Summary of Initial Characterisation (1st Draft, June 2004)*.
- GSI. (2004b) Maam-Clonbur Groundwater Body: *Summary of Initial Characterisation (1st Draft, July 2004)*.
- GSI. (2014) *Bedrock Geology 1:100,000, Bedrock Boreholes, Karst Features, Groundwater Aquifers, National Draft Generalised Bedrock map (Groundwater Rock units), National Vulnerability and National Groundwater Recharge maps*. available; www.dcenr.gov.ie
- Institute of Geologists of Ireland. (IGI) (2007) *Guidelines for the Water Well Construction*.
- Institute of Geologists of Ireland. (IGI) (2013) *Guidelines for the Preparation of Soils, Geology and Hydrogeology Chapters of Environmental Impact Statements*.

Pracht, M. and Somerville I.D., (2015) *A Revised Mississippian lithostratigraphy of County Galway (western Ireland) with analyses of Carbonate lithofacies, biostratigraphy, depositional environments and palaeogeography reconstructions utilising new borehole data. Journal of palaeogeography. Volume 4, Issue 1, January 2015, Pages 1-26.*

Transport Infrastructure Ireland. (TII) (2015) *Design Manual for Roads and Bridges DN-DNG-03065/NRA HD 45/15/Method C.*

Transport Infrastructure Ireland. (TII) (NRA, 2008) *Environmental Impact Assessment of National Road Schemes – A Practical Guide.*


Transport Infrastructure Ireland. (TII) (NRA, 2009) *Guidelines on Procedures for Assessment and Treatment of Geology, Hydrology and Hydrogeology for National Road Schemes.*

Waltham, A.C. and Fookes, P. G., (2003) *Engineering classification of karst ground conditions. Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology. Vol.36, pp. 101-118.*

Annex A

Figures

A.1 Annex A - Figures



FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- City Boundary
- Assessment Boundary
- Proposed N6 GCRR

Surface Karst Features (K)

- Not Karst, Confirmed
- Enclosed Depression
- Spring
- Turlough

Aquifer Bedrock

- PI - Poor Aquifer - Bedrock which is Generally Unproductive except for Local Zones
- Rkc - Regionally Important Aquifer - Karstified (conduit)

Refer to Figure 1.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446


Clients

An Roinn Iompair
Department of Transport

2040
Galway City Transport Project

Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe
Galway County Council

TIIV



Consultant

ARUP

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @ A3

Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH


Drawing Title

Hydrogeology Bedrock Aquifer Classification (GSI) and Karst Features
Sheet 1 of 2

Drawing Status

For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 1.1	11



Refer to Figure 1.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

FOR INFORMATION

- Legend**
- City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - Proposed N6 GCRR
- Surface Karst Features (K)**
- ⊗ Not Karst, Confirmed
 - ⊗ Enclosed Depression
 - ⊕ Estavelle
 - ▲ Spring
 - ▼ Swallow Hole, Confirmed
 - Turlough
 - ◆ Well
 - ⚡ Cave
 - ✳ Superficial solution features
- Aquifer Classification**
- Regionally Important Aquifer - Karstified (conduit)
 - Poor Aquifer - Bedrock which is Generally Unproductive except for Local Zones

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Sheet 1 Sheet 2

Key Plan

Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3

Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH


Drawing Title

Hydrogeology Bedrock Aquifer Classification (GSI) and Karst Features
Sheet 2 of 2

Drawing Status

For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 1.2	11




FOR INFORMATION

- Legend**
- ■ ■ City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR
- Groundwater Bodies (GSI) (2014-2018)**
- Clare-Corrib
 - Clarinbridge
 - GWDTE-Lough Corrib Fen 2
 - GWDTE-Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough)
 - GWDTE-Lough Corrib Fens 3 & 4
 - Maam-Clonbur
 - Ross Lake
 - Spiddal
 - Waste Facility (W0013-01)

Refer to Figure 2.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann .
All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients



Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland
Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title
N6 Galway City Ring Road


Scale: 1: 25000 @A3
Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH

Drawing Title
Hydrogeology Groundwater Bodies (GSI)
Sheet 2 of 2

Drawing Status
For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 2.2	11



Refer to Figure 3.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- City Boundary
- - - Assessment Boundary
- ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR
- Groundwater Vulnerability (GS)**
- Red: Rock at or near Surface or Karst
- Light Red: Extreme
- Yellow: High
- Light Green: Moderate
- Green: Low
- Blue: Water
- Palaeolandscape**
- ▭ Estimated Palaeolandscape extents

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Sheet 1

Sheet 2

Key Plan

Consultant

ARUP

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3

Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH


Drawing Title

Hydrogeology Groundwater Vulnerability (GS)
Existing Environment
Sheet 1 of 2

Drawing Status

For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 3.1	11



FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- City Boundary
- Assessment Boundary
- Proposed N6 GCRR

GSI Groundwater Vulnerability

- Rock at or near Surface or Karst
- Extreme
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Water

Palaeolandscapes

- Estimate Palaeolandscape extents

Refer to Figure 3.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Sheet 1 Sheet 2

Key Plan

Consultant

ARUP

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3

Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH


Drawing Title

Hydrogeology Groundwater Vulnerability (GSV)
Existing Environment
Sheet 2 of 2

Drawing Status

For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 3.2	11



FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- City Boundary
- Assessment Boundary
- ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR

National Recharge Range (mm)


- 1-50
- 51-100
- 101-150
- 151-200
- 201-250
- 451-500
- 601-700

Refer to Figure 4.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council



Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title
N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3


Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH

Drawing Title
Hydrogeology Recharge Coefficient (GSI)
Existing Environment
Sheet 1 of 2

Drawing Status
For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 4.1	11



FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- ■ ■ City Boundary
- Assessment Boundary
- ▨ Proposed N6 GCRR
- National Recharge Range (mm)**
- 1-50
- 51-100
- 101-150
- 151-200
- 401-450
- 451-500
- 551-600
- 601-700

Refer to Figure 4.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taoiseacht Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Sheet 1 Sheet 2

Key Plan

Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3


Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH

Drawing Title
Hydrogeology Recharge Coefficient (GS)
Existing Environment
Sheet 2 of 2

Drawing Status
For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 4.2	11




FOR INFORMATION

- Legend**
- City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - Proposed N6 GCRR
- European Sites**
- Lough Corrib (SAC)
 - Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
 - Inner Galway Bay SPA
 - Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
 - Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
- Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
- Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
 - Clarinbridge GWB
 - Maam-Clonbur GWB
 - Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
 - Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
 - Spiddal GWB
 - Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 5.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

<p>Clients</p> <p>Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council</p>	<p>Sheet 1</p> <p>Sheet 2</p> <p>Key Plan</p>	<p>Consultant</p> <p>Corporate House City East Business Park Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland</p> <p>Tel +353 (0)91 460675 www.N6GalwayCity.ie www.arup.ie</p>	<p>Job Title</p> <p>N6 Galway City Ring Road</p> <p>Scale: 1: 25000 @A3</p> <p>Date: February 2025</p>	<p>Drawing Title</p> <p>Hydrogeology Revised Groundwater Bodies (2017) and European Sites Sheet 1 of 2</p> <p>Drawing Status</p> <p>For Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Issue</th> <th>Date</th> <th>By</th> <th>Chkd</th> <th>Appd</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I 1</td> <td>28/02/2025</td> <td>AG</td> <td>EC</td> <td>MH</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Job No</th> <th>Drawing No</th> <th>Issue</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>233985</td> <td>Figure 5.1</td> <td>11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd	I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH	Job No	Drawing No	Issue	233985	Figure 5.1	11
Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd																
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH																
Job No	Drawing No	Issue																		
233985	Figure 5.1	11																		




FOR INFORMATION

- Legend**
- ■ ■ City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR
- European Sites**
- ▭ Lough Corrib (SAC)
 - ▭ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
 - ▭ Inner Galway Bay SPA
 - ▭ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
 - ▭ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
- Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
- ▭ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
 - ▭ Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley East) GWB
 - ▭ Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley West) GWB
 - ▭ Clarinbridge GWB
 - ▭ Maam-Clonbur GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh)
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
 - ▭ Spiddal GWB
 - ▭ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 5.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

<p>Clients</p> <p>Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council</p>	<p>Sheet 1 Sheet 2 Sheet 3</p> <p>Key Plan</p>	<p>Consultant</p> <p>Corporate House City East Business Park Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland</p> <p>Tel +353 (0)91 460675 www.N6GalwayCity.ie www.arup.ie</p>	<p>Job Title</p> <p>N6 Galway City Ring Road</p> <p>Scale: 1: 25000 @A3</p> <p>Date: February 2025</p>	<p>Drawing Title</p> <p>Hydrogeology Revised Groundwater Bodies (2017) and European Sites Sheet 2 of 2</p> <p>Drawing Status</p> <p>For Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Issue</th> <th>Date</th> <th>By</th> <th>Chkd</th> <th>Appd</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I 1</td> <td>28/02/2025</td> <td>AG</td> <td>EC</td> <td>MH</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Job No</th> <th>Drawing No</th> <th>Issue</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>233985</td> <td>Figure 5.2</td> <td>11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd	I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH	Job No	Drawing No	Issue	233985	Figure 5.2	11
Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd																
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH																
Job No	Drawing No	Issue																		
233985	Figure 5.2	11																		



FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- City Boundary
- Assessment Boundary
- Proposed N6 GCRR

European Sites

- ▭ Lough Corrib (SAC)
- ▭ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
- ▭ Inner Galway Bay SPA
- ▭ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
- ▭ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents

Zone of Influence (2023)

- ▨ Construction phase potential drawdown impacts
- ▨ Construction phase potential WQ impacts


Groundwater Bodies (2017)

- ▭ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
- ▭ Clarinbridge GWB
- ▭ Maam-Clonbur GWB
- ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
- ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
- ▭ Spiddal GWB
- ▭ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 6.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

<p>Clients</p> <p>Comhairle Chontae na Gaillimhe Galway County Council</p>	<p>Consultant</p> <p>Corporate House City East Business Park Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland Tel +353 (0)91 460675 www.N6GalwayCity.ie www.arup.ie</p>	<p>Job Title</p> <p>N6 Galway City Ring Road</p> <p>Scale: 1: 25000 @A3 Date: February 2025</p>	<p>Drawing Title</p> <p>Hydrogeology pre-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the construction phase. Sheet 1 of 2</p> <p>Drawing Status</p> <p>For Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; font-size: x-small;"> <tr> <td>Issue</td> <td>Date</td> <td>By</td> <td>Chkd</td> <td>Appd</td> </tr> <tr> <td>I 1</td> <td>28/02/2025</td> <td>AG</td> <td>EC</td> <td>MH</td> </tr> </table>	Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd	I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; font-size: x-small;"> <tr> <td>Job No</td> <td>Drawing No</td> <td>Issue</td> </tr> <tr> <td>233985</td> <td>Figure 6.1</td> <td>11</td> </tr> </table>	Job No	Drawing No	Issue	233985	Figure 6.1	11
Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd																
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH																
Job No	Drawing No	Issue																		
233985	Figure 6.1	11																		



FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- City Boundary
- Assessment Boundary
- Proposed N6 GCRR

European Sites

- Lough Corrib (SAC)
- Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
- Inner Galway Bay SPA
- Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
- Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents

Zone of Influence (2023)

- Construction phase potential drawdown impacts
- Construction phase potential WQ impacts

Groundwater Bodies (2017)

- Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
- Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley East) GWB
- Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley West) GWB
- Clarinbridge GWB
- Maam-Clonbur GWB
- Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh)
- Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
- Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
- Spiddal GWB
- Ross Lake GWB

© National Mapping Division of Taifite Éireann . All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Refer to Figure 6.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

Clients

Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council

Sheet 1 Sheet 2

Key Plan

Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3

Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
11	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH


Drawing Title

Hydrogeology pre-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the construction phase. Sheet 2 of 2

Drawing Status

For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 6.2	11



FOR INFORMATION


- Legend**
- City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR
 - European Sites**
 - ▭ Lough Corrib (SAC)
 - ▭ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
 - ▭ Inner Galway Bay SPA
 - ▭ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
 - ▭ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
 - Zone of Influence (2023)**
 - ▭ Operation phase potential drawdown impacts
 - ▭ Operation phase potential WQ impacts
 - Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
 - ▭ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
 - ▭ Clarinbridge GWB
 - ▭ Maam-Clonbur GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
 - ▭ Spiddal GWB
 - ▭ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 7.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Comhairle Chontae na Gaillimhe
Galway County Council



Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title
N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3


Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH

Drawing Title
Hydrogeology Pre-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the operational phase. Sheet 1 of 2

Drawing Status
For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 7.1	11



FOR INFORMATION

Legend

- ■ ■ City Boundary
- Assessment Boundary
- ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR
- European Sites**
- ▭ Lough Corrib (SAC)
- ▭ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
- ▭ Inner Galway Bay SPA
- ▭ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
- ▭ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
- Zone of Influence (2023)**
- ▭ Operation phase potential drawdown impacts
- ▭ Operation phase potential WQ impacts
- Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
- ▭ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
- ▭ Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley East) GWB
- ▭ Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley West) GWB
- ▭ Clarinbridge GWB
- ▭ Maam-Clonbur GWB
- ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh)
- ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
- ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
- ▭ Spiddal GWB
- ▭ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 7.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taite Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council

Sheet 1 Sheet 2

Key Plan

Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title

N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3

Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH


Drawing Title

Hydrogeology Pre-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the operational phase. Sheet 2 of 2

Drawing Status

For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 7.2	11




FOR INFORMATION


- Legend**
- City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR
- European Sites**
- ▭ Lough Corrib (SAC)
 - ▭ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
 - ▭ Inner Galway Bay SPA
 - ▭ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
 - ▭ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
- Zone of Influence (2014 - 2018)**
- ▨ Construction phase potential drawdown impacts
 - ▨ Construction phase potential WQ impacts
- Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
- ▭ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
 - ▭ Clarinbridge GWB
 - ▭ Maam-Clonbur GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
 - ▭ Spiddal GWB
 - ▭ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 8.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

<p>Clients</p> <p>Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council</p>	<p>Consultant</p> <p>Corporate House City East Business Park Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland</p> <p>Tel +353 (0)91 460675 www.N6GalwayCity.ie www.arup.ie</p>	<p>Job Title</p> <p>N6 Galway City Ring Road</p> <p>Scale: 1: 25000 @A3</p> <p>Date: February 2025</p>	<p>Drawing Title</p> <p>Hydrogeology post-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the construction phase. Sheet 1 of 2</p> <p>Drawing Status</p> <p>For Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Issue</th> <th>Date</th> <th>By</th> <th>Chkd</th> <th>Appd</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I 1</td> <td>28/02/2025</td> <td>AG</td> <td>EC</td> <td>MH</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd	I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Job No</th> <th>Drawing No</th> <th>Issue</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>233985</td> <td>Figure 8.1</td> <td>11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Job No	Drawing No	Issue	233985	Figure 8.1	11
Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd																
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH																
Job No	Drawing No	Issue																		
233985	Figure 8.1	11																		





FOR INFORMATION


- Legend**
- ■ ■ City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - ▨ Proposed N6 GCRR
- European Sites**
- ▨ Lough Corrib (SAC)
 - ▨ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
 - ▨ Inner Galway Bay SPA
 - ▨ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
 - ▨ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
- Zone of Influence (2014 - 2018)**
- ▨ Construction phase potential drawdown impacts
 - ▨ Construction phase potential WQ impacts
- Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
- ▨ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
 - ▨ Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley East) GWB
 - ▨ Clare-Corrib (Ballindooley West) GWB
 - ▨ Clarinbridge GWB
 - ▨ Maam-Clonbur GWB
 - ▨ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh)
 - ▨ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
 - ▨ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
 - ▨ Spiddal GWB
 - ▨ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 8.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council



Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title
N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3


Date: February 2025

Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH

Drawing Title
Hydrogeology post-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the construction phase. Sheet 2 of 2

Drawing Status
For Information

Job No	Drawing No	Issue
233985	Figure 8.2	11




FOR INFORMATION

- Legend**
- City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - ▬ Proposed N6 GCRR
- European Sites**
- ▭ Lough Corrib (SAC)
 - ▭ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
 - ▭ Inner Galway Bay SPA
 - ▭ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
 - ▭ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
- Zone of Influence (2014 - 2018)**
- ▭ Operation phase potential drawdown impacts
 - ▭ Operation phase potential WQ impacts
- Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
- ▭ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
 - ▭ Clarinbridge GWB
 - ▭ Maam-Clonbur GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
 - ▭ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
 - ▭ Spiddal GWB
 - ▭ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 9.2 (Sheet 2 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

<p>Clients</p> <p>Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council</p>	<p>Sheet 1 Sheet 2</p> <p>Key Plan</p>	<p>Consultant</p> <p>Corporate House City East Business Park Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland</p> <p>Tel: +353 (0)91 460675 www.N6GalwayCity.ie www.arup.ie</p>	<p>Job Title</p> <p>N6 Galway City Ring Road</p> <p>Scale: 1: 25000 @A3</p> <p>Date: February 2025</p>	<p>Drawing Title</p> <p>Hydrogeology post-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the operational phase. Sheet 1 of 2</p> <p>Drawing Status</p> <p>For Information</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Issue</th> <th>Date</th> <th>By</th> <th>Chkd</th> <th>Appd</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>I 1</td> <td>28/02/2025</td> <td>AG</td> <td>EC</td> <td>MH</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Job No</th> <th>Drawing No</th> <th>Issue</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>233985</td> <td>Figure 9.1</td> <td>11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd	I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH	Job No	Drawing No	Issue	233985	Figure 9.1	11
Issue	Date	By	Chkd	Appd																
I 1	28/02/2025	AG	EC	MH																
Job No	Drawing No	Issue																		
233985	Figure 9.1	11																		



FOR INFORMATION


- Legend**
- ■ ■ City Boundary
 - Assessment Boundary
 - ▨ Proposed N6 GCRR
- European Sites**
- ▨ Lough Corrib (SAC)
 - ▨ Galway Bay Complex (SAC)
 - ▨ Inner Galway Bay SPA
 - ▨ Special Area of Conservation (SPA)
 - ▨ Estimated Palaeolandscapes Extents
- Zone of Influence (2014 - 2018)**
- ▨ Operation phase potential drawdown impacts
 - ▨ Operation phase potential WQ impacts
- Groundwater Bodies (2017)**
- ▨ Clare - Corrib (Terryland) GWB
 - ▨ Clare-Corrib (Ballinbooley East) GWB
 - ▨ Clare-Corrib (Ballinbooley West) GWB
 - ▨ Clarinbridge GWB
 - ▨ Maam-Clonbur GWB
 - ▨ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Lackagh)
 - ▨ Lough Corrib Fen 1 (Menlough) GWB
 - ▨ Lough Corrib Fen 2 GWB
 - ▨ Spiddal GWB
 - ▨ Ross Lake GWB

Refer to Figure 9.1 (Sheet 1 of 2)

© National Mapping Division of Taitte Éireann. All rights reserved. Licence number CYAL50333446

Clients

Comhairle Chontae na Gallimhe Galway County Council



Consultant

Corporate House
City East Business Park
Ballybrit, Galway, Ireland

Tel +353 (0)91 460675
www.N6GalwayCity.ie
www.arup.ie

Job Title
N6 Galway City Ring Road

Scale: 1: 25000 @A3

Date: February 2025

Drawing Title				
Hydrogeology post-mitigation potential drawdown and WQ impacts during the operational phase. Sheet 2 of 2				
Drawing Status				
For Information				
Job No	Drawing No	Issue		
233985	Figure 9.2	11		